arXiv:1508.01194v1 [hep-ph] 5 Aug 2015

Violation of Factorization Theorem in QCD and QED For Non-Light-Like Wilson Line

Gouranga C Nayak[1, *]

[1] 458 East College Avenue, #603, State College, Pennsylvania 16801, USA

(Dated: August 6, 2015)

Abstract

By using path integral formulation of QCD and QED we prove that the factorization theorem is valid for light-like Wilson line but is not valid for non-light-like Wilson line. This conclusion is shown to be consistent with Ward identity and Grammer-Yennie approximation. Hence we find that the factorization theorem is violated in all the previous studies which used the non-light-like Wilson line in the definition of the (transverse momentum dependent) parton distribution function and fragmentation function at high energy colliders.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Lg; 12.38.Aw; 14.70.Dj; 12.39.St

---

[*] Electronic address: nayak@max2.physics.sunysb.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

The Wilson line in QCD is extensively used at high energy colliders. In particular, the Wilson line is used in the definition of the non-perturbative quantities in QCD such as in the definition of the parton distribution function and fragmentation function at high energy colliders [1]. There are two types of Wilson lines, 1) light-like Wilson line and 2) non-light-like Wilson line.

In case of light-like Wilson line the parton travels at speed of light and hence it produces pure gauge potential at all the time-space points x^μ except at the position $\vec{x}$ transverse to the motion of the parton at the time of closest approach [2–4]. Since light-like Wilson line produces pure gauge potential, it produces zero fields *i. e.*, $F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) = 0$.

In case of non-light-like Wilson line the parton does not travel at speed of light and hence it does not produce pure gauge potential [2–4]. Since non-light-like Wilson line does not produce pure gauge potential, it produces non-zero fields *i. e.*, $F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) \neq 0$. In addition to non-zero $F^a_{\mu\nu}(x)$, the form of $F^a_{\mu\nu}(x)$ can be arbitrary for non-light-like Wilson line. Hence one expects fundamental physics differences between light-like Wilson line and non-light-like Wilson line.

One of such physics issue we will study in this paper is the factorization theorem in QCD and QED. We will show in this paper, by using path integral formulation of QCD and QED, that the factorization theorem is valid for light-like Wilson line but is not valid for non-light-like Wilson line. This conclusion is also consistent with Ward identity and Grammer-Yennie approximation (see section III for details).

In case of Grammer-Yennie approximation the polarization sums for both real and virtual photons are rearranged into two parts. One of these is called the K-polarization sum which is proportional to $k^\mu k^\nu$ which corresponds to longitudinal polarization of the photon field. This corresponds to pure gauge because the polarization of the pure gauge is proportional to its four momentum k^μ, *i. e.*, it is longitudinally polarized (which is unphysical) which can be gauged away in the sense of factorization [5].

In case of Ward identity the gauge transformation part of the photon field is nothing but the pure gauge. Since the polarization of the pure gauge is longitudinally polarized (which is unphysical) it can be gauged away in the sense of factorization (gauge transformation).

Since the light-like Wilson line produces pure gauge and the non-light-like Wilson line does not produce pure gauge, one finds that the Ward identity and Grammer-Yennie approximation is consistent with the fact that the factorization theorem is valid for light-like Wilson line but is not valid for non-light-like Wilson line.

Note that for non-light-like Wilson line there is no collinear divergence in the Eikonal approximation [see eq. (4) below]. Hence for non-light-like Wilson line one does not have to worry about collinear divergences. In case of non-light-like Wilson line there can be soft divergences which are not factorized which we will prove in this paper.

Note that, in some of the studies, the non-light-like Wilson line is used in the definition of the transverse momentum dependent parton distribution function (TMD PDF) at high energy colliders [1, 6]. These studies involve diagrammatic calculation at one loop level using perturbative QCD. However, the parton distribution function and fragmentation function are non-perturbative quantities in QCD. Hence if one uses the perturbation theory to study their properties [1, 6], then one may end up finding wrong results. In general, the non-perturbative phenomena may be impossible to understand by perturbation theory, regardless of how many orders of perturbation theory one uses. Take for example, the non-perturbative function

$$f(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{x^{2n}}}, \qquad n = \text{positive integer}. \tag{1}$$

The Taylor series at $x = 0$ for this function $f(x)$ is exactly zero to all orders in perturbation theory, but the function is non-zero if $x \neq 0$.

Hence the diagrammatic method using perturbative QCD may not be always sufficient to prove factorization of soft-collinear divergences of parton distribution function and fragmentation function at high energy colliders, regardless of how many orders of perturbation theory one uses, because parton distribution function and fragmentation function are non-perturbative quantities in QCD.

On the other hand the path integral method of QCD can be used to study non-perturbative QCD. The path integral method of QCD is valid at all order in coupling constant. Hence we find that the path integral method of QCD is very useful to prove factorization of soft-collinear divergences of non-perturbative quantities in QCD such as the parton distribution function and fragmentation function at all order in coupling constant at high energy colliders.

In this paper we prove, by using path integral method of QCD, that the factorization theorem in QCD and QED is valid for light-like Wilson line but is not valid for non-light-like Wilson line. This implies that the factorization theorem is violated in all the previous studies [1, 6] which used the non-light-like Wilson line in the definition of the (transverse momentum dependent) parton distribution function and fragmentation function at high energy colliders. This is in conformation with the finding in [7, 8] which proved factorization theorem in NRQCD heavy quarkonium production in case of light-like Wilson line [7] and the violation of factorization theorem in NRQCD heavy quarkonium production in case of non-light-like Wilson line [8]. In case of massive Wilson line in QCD the color transfer occurs and the factorization breaks down [8]. The simple physics reason behind this is the following. The light-like Wilson line produces pure gauge potential which gives $F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) = 0$ which can be gauged away in the sense of factorization because pure gauge corresponds to unphysical longitudinal polarization and hence factorization theorem works (see sections IV and VII for details). The non-light-like Wilson line does not produce pure gauge potential ($F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) \neq 0$), the form of which can be arbitrary which can not be gauged away in the sense of factorization because non-pure gauge does not correspond to unphysical longitudinal polarization and hence the non-light-like Wilson line spoils the factorization (see sections V and VIII for details).

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we briefly discuss soft-collinear divergence and light-like Wilson line in QED. In section III we discuss Ward Identity, Grammer-Yennie approximation, longitudinal polarization and light-like Wilson line. In section IV we discuss the proof of factorization of soft-collinear divergence in QED by using light-like Wilson line. In section V we prove that the soft-collinear divergence in QED is not factorized when non-light-like Wilson line is used. In section VI we discuss soft-collinear divergence and light-like Wilson line in QCD. In section VII we discuss the proof of factorization of soft-collinear divergence in QCD by using light-like Wilson line. In section VIII we prove that the soft-collinear divergence in QCD is not factorized when non-light-like Wilson line is used. Section IX contains conclusions.

II. SOFT-COLLINEAR DIVERGENCES AND LIGHT-LIKE WILSON LINE IN QED

As mentioned earlier in order to study factorization of soft-collinear divergences the Wilson line is used in the definition of parton distribution function and fragmentation function which also make them gauge invariant. Before proceeding to the issue of gauge invariance and the Wilson line for soft-collinear divergences in QCD let us first discuss the corresponding situation in QED. The gauge transformation of the Dirac field of the electron in QED is given by

$$\psi'(x) = e^{ie\omega(x)}\psi(x). \tag{2}$$

Hence we can expect to address the issue of gauge invariance and factorization of soft-collinear divergences in QED simultaneously if we can relate the $\omega(x)$ to the photon field $A^\mu(x)$. This is easily done by using Eikonal Feynman rules in QED for soft-collinear divergences when light-like Wilson line is used. This can be seen as follows.

The Eikonal propagator times the Eikonal vertex for a photon with four momentum k^μ interacting with an electron moving with four momentum p^μ in the limit $k <<< p$ is given by [1, 2, 5, 9–16]

$$e\,\frac{p^\mu}{p\cdot k + i\epsilon}. \tag{3}$$

In QED the soft divergence arises only from the emission of a photon for which all components of the four-momentum k^μ are small ($k \to 0$) which is evident from eq. (3). From eq. (3) one also finds that when $0 < k <<< p$ and $\vec{p}$ is parallel to $\vec{k}$ one may find collinear divergence.

However, since

$$p\cdot k = p_0 k_0 - \vec{p}\cdot\vec{k} = |\vec{p}||\vec{k}|(\sqrt{1+\frac{m^2}{\vec{p}^2}} - \cos\theta) \tag{4}$$

one finds that the collinear divergence does not appear in QED because of the non-vanishing mass of the electron, *i. e.*, $m \neq 0$. From eqs. (3) and (4) one finds that the collinear divergence appears only when $m = 0$ and $\theta = 0$ where θ is the angle between $\vec{p}$ and $\vec{k}$. Since gluons are massless and the massless gluons interact with each other one finds that the collinear divergence appears in QCD. Since a massless particle is always light-like one finds that the soft-collinear divergences can be described by light-like Wilson line in QCD.

For light-like electron we find from eq. (3)

$$e\ \frac{p^\mu}{p\cdot k+i\epsilon}=e\ \frac{l^\mu}{l\cdot k+i\epsilon} \tag{5}$$

where l^μ is the light-like four-velocity ($|\vec{l}|$=1) of the electron. Note that when we say the ”light-like electron” we mean the electron that is traveling at its highest speed which is arbitrarily close to the speed of light ($|\vec{l}| \sim 1$) as it can not travel exactly at speed of light because it has finite mass even if the mass of the electron is very small. Hence we find that if l^μ is light-like four velocity then the soft-collinear divergence can be described by the Eikonal Feynman rule as given by eq. (5).

From eq. (5) we find

$$e\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{l\cdot A(k)}{l\cdot k+i\epsilon}=-ei\int_0^\infty d\lambda \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}e^{il\cdot k\lambda}l\cdot A(k)=ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(l\lambda) \tag{6}$$

where the photon field $A^\mu(x)$ and its Fourier transform $A^\mu(k)$ are related by

$$A^\mu(x)=\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}A^\mu(k)e^{ik\cdot x}. \tag{7}$$

Now consider the corresponding Feynman diagram for the soft-collinear divergences in QED due to exchange of two soft-collinear photons of four-momenta k_1^μ and k_2^μ. The corresponding Eikonal contribution due to two soft-collinear photons exchange is analogously given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^2\int \frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_2}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{l\cdot A(k_2)l\cdot A(k_1)}{(l\cdot(k_1+k_2)+i\epsilon)(l\cdot k_1+i\epsilon)}\\
&=e^2i^2\int_0^\infty d\lambda_2\int_{\lambda_2}^\infty d\lambda_1 l\cdot A(l\lambda_2)l\cdot \mathcal{A}(l\lambda_1)\\
&=\frac{e^2i^2}{2!}\int_0^\infty d\lambda_2\int_0^\infty d\lambda_1 l\cdot \mathcal{A}(l\lambda_2)l\cdot \mathcal{A}(l\lambda_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Extending this calculation up to infinite number of soft-collinear photons we find that the Eikonal contribution for the soft-collinear divergences due to soft-collinear photons exchange with the light-like electron in QED is given by the exponential

$$e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(l\lambda)} \tag{9}$$

where l^μ is the light-like four velocity of the electron. The Wilson line in QED is given by

$$e^{ie\int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx^\mu A_\mu(x)}. \tag{10}$$

When $A^\mu(x) = A^\mu(l\lambda)$ as in eq. (9) then one finds from eq. (10) that the light-like Wilson line in QED for soft-collinear divergences is given by [17]

$$e^{ie\int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx^\mu A_\mu(x)} = e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_f+l\lambda)} e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_i+l\lambda)}. \tag{11}$$

Note that a light-like electron traveling with light-like four-velocity l^μ produces U(1) pure gauge potential $A^\mu(x)$ at all the time-space position x^μ except at the position $\vec{x}$ perpendicular to the direction of motion of the electron ($\vec{l}\cdot\vec{x} = 0$) at the time of closest approach [2–4]. When $A^\mu(x) = A^\mu(\lambda l)$ as in eq. (9) we find $\vec{l}\cdot\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{l}\cdot\vec{l} = \lambda \neq 0$ which implies that the light-like Wilson line finds the photon field $A^\mu(x)$ in eq. (9) as the U(1) pure gauge. The U(1) pure gauge is given by

$$A^\mu(x) = \partial^\mu\omega(x) \tag{12}$$

which gives from eq. (11) the light-like Wilson line in QED for soft-collinear divergences

$$e^{ie\omega(x_f)}e^{-ie\omega(x_i)} = e^{ie\int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx^\mu A_\mu(x)} = e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_f+l\lambda)} e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_i+l\lambda)} \tag{13}$$

which depends only on end points x_i^μ and x_f^μ but is independent of the path. The path independence can also be found from Stokes theorem because for pure gauge

$$F^{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial^\mu A^\nu(x) - \partial^\nu A^\mu(x) = 0 \tag{14}$$

which gives from Stokes theorem

$$e^{ie\oint_C dx^\mu A_\mu(x)} = e^{ie\int_S dx^\mu dx^\nu F_{\mu\nu}(x)} = 1 \tag{15}$$

where C is a closed path and S is the surface enclosing C. Now considering two different paths L and M with common end points x_i^μ and x_f^μ we find

$$e^{ie\oint_C dx^\mu A_\mu(x)} = e^{ie\int_L dx^\mu A_\mu(x) - ie\int_M dx^\mu A_\mu(x)} = 1 \tag{16}$$

which implies that

$$e^{ie\int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx^\mu A_\mu(x)} \tag{17}$$

depends only on end points x_i^μ and x_f^μ but is independent of path which can also be seen from eq. (13). Hence from eq. (13) we find that the abelian phase or the gauge link in QED is given by

$$e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x+l\lambda)} = e^{ie\omega(x)}. \tag{18}$$

Eq. (18) can also be directly verified as follows. When $A^\mu(x) = \partial^\mu \omega(x)$ is U(1) pure gauge as given by eq. (12) we find by using contour integration that

$$e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x+l\lambda)} = e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda e^{\lambda l\cdot \partial}~ l\cdot A(x)} = e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda e^{\lambda l\cdot \partial}~ \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ik\cdot x} l\cdot A(k)}$$
$$= e^{-ie\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^\infty d\lambda e^{i\lambda l\cdot k}~ e^{ik\cdot x} l\cdot A(k)} = e^{ie\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{il\cdot k}~ e^{ik\cdot x} l\cdot A(k)} = e^{ie\frac{1}{l\cdot\partial}~ l\cdot A(x)} = e^{ie\omega(x)} \tag{19}$$

which reproduces eq. (18).

From eqs. (2) and (18) one expects that the gauge invariance and factorization of soft-collinear divergences in QED can be explained simultaneously if the light-like Wilson line is used.

III. WARD IDENTITY, GRAMMER-YENNIE APPROXIMATION, LONGITUDINAL POLARIZATION AND LIGHT-LIKE WILSON LINE

Note that, as mentioned above, in classical electrodynamics the assertion that the gauge field that is produced by a highly relativistic particle is a pure gauge [2–4]. In order to study factorization of infrared divergences by using the background field method of QED, the soft photon cloud traversed by the electron is represented by the background field $A^\mu(x)$ [5] where one represents the (hard) quantum photon field by $Q^\mu(x)$.

In order to see how, in the quantum field theory (QED), the pure gauge property holds for the case in which the photon momentum is soft can be seen from the Grammer-Yennie approximation [18], see also [5]. In the Grammer-Yennie approximation, if the photon is emitted from an incoming line, then for soft-divergence one replaces the polarization of the gauge field [see eq. (3.2) of [18]] by

$$\epsilon^\mu(k) = [\epsilon^\mu(k) - k^\mu \frac{l\cdot\epsilon(k)}{l\cdot k}] + k^\mu \frac{l\cdot\epsilon(k)}{l\cdot k} \tag{20}$$

which, when used in the Feynman rule for the eikonal line [that is given in eq. (5) above or in the equation before eq. (3.2) of [18]], gives

$$\frac{l\cdot\epsilon(k)}{l\cdot k} = [\frac{l\cdot\epsilon(k)}{l\cdot k} - \frac{l\cdot\epsilon(k)}{l\cdot k}] + \frac{l\cdot\epsilon(k)}{l\cdot k} = 0 + \frac{l\cdot\epsilon(k)}{l\cdot k}. \tag{21}$$

Hence one finds that the first term $[\epsilon^\mu(k) - k^\mu \frac{l\cdot\epsilon(k)}{l\cdot k}]$ in the right hand side of eq. (20) can not lead to soft divergence. The soft divergence comes from the last term $k^\mu \frac{l\cdot\epsilon(k)}{l\cdot k}$ in the right hand side of eq. (20). Hence from the Grammer-Yennie approximation one finds that, as far as soft divergence is concerned, the polarization of the gauge field is proportional to k^μ, *i. e.*, it is longitudinally polarized. Since the polarization of the pure gauge is proportional to k^μ, one finds that the pure gauge property holds for the case in which the gauge field momentum is soft. Since longitudinal polarizations are unphysical they can be gauged away, in the sense of factorization [5]. Hence one finds that the factorization theorem for soft/infrared divergences can be studied by using pure gauge.

The pure gauge property is also evident from the Ward-Identity which can be seen when one replaces $\epsilon^\mu(k)$ in the QED amplitude

$$\mathcal{M} = \epsilon^\mu(k)\mathcal{M}_\mu(k) \tag{22}$$

by

$$\epsilon^\mu(k) \to k^\mu \qquad\qquad \text{Pure gauge} \tag{23}$$

then one gets

$$k^\mu \mathcal{M}_\mu(k) = 0 \tag{24}$$

which eliminates longitudinal polarization of the photon in the physical process. This is the statement of gauge transformation which is equivalent to saying that the gauge transformation part is noting but the pure gauge. Hence the statement that unphysical longitudinal polarization can be gauged away by using pure gauge, in the sense of factorization [5], is consistent with Ward-identity.

The above analysis in the quantum field theory is also valid for collinear divergence in the Eikonal approximation for light-like Wilson line case because in the collinear limit the momentum k^μ of the gauge field is proportional to the four velocity of the light-like Wilson line l^μ. Since the polarization of the pure gauge is proportional to k^μ, one finds that the pure gauge property holds for the case in which the gauge field momentum is collinear to the momentum of the light-like Wilson line.

Note that for non-light-like Wilson line there is no collinear divergence in the Eikonal approximation which can be seen from eq. (4). Hence for non-light-like Wilson line one does not have to worry about collinear divergences.

In case of non-light-like Wilson line there can be soft divergences which are not factorized which we will prove in this paper. This is consistent with the fact that non-light-like Wilson line can not produce pure gauge [2–4].

Hence, since the light-like Wilson line produces pure gauge and the non-light-like Wilson line does not produce pure gauge [2–4], one finds that the Ward identity and Grammer-Yennie approximation is consistent with the fact that the factorization theorem is valid for light-like Wilson line but is not valid for non-light-like Wilson line.

IV. PROOF OF FACTORIZATION OF SOFT-COLLINEAR DIVERGENCES IN QED WITH LIGHT-LIKE WILSON LINE

Note that the gauge invariant greens function in QED

$$G(x_1,x_2) =< \bar{\psi}(x_2)\ \times\ \exp[ie\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A_\mu(x)]\ \times\ \psi(x_1) > \tag{25}$$

in the presence of background field $A^\mu(x)$ was formulated by Schwinger long time ago [19]. When this background field $A^\mu(x)$ is replaced by the U(1) pure gauge background field as given by eq. (12) [which corresponds to the light-like Wilson line in eq. (18)] then the path integral method of QED can be used to prove gauge invariance and factorization of soft-collinear divergences in QED simultaneously.

A. Proof of Factorization Theorem in QED With Light-Like Wilson Line in Covariant Gauge

The generating functional in QED in the path integral formulation in covariant gauge is given by

$$Z[J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + e\gamma^\mu Q_\mu]\psi + J\cdot Q + \bar{\eta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\eta]} \tag{26}$$

where $J^\mu(x)$ is the external source for the quantum photon field $Q^\mu(x)$ and $\bar{\eta}(x)$ is the external source for the Dirac field $\psi(x)$ of the electron and

$$F^{\mu\nu}[Q] = \partial^\mu Q^\nu(x) - \partial^\nu Q^\mu(x), \qquad F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q] = F^{\mu\nu}[Q]F_{\mu\nu}[Q]. \tag{27}$$

The correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >$ in QED in covariant gauge is given by [5]

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1) e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + e\gamma^\mu Q_\mu]\psi]} \tag{28}$$

where suppression of the factor $\frac{1}{Z[0]}$ is understood as it will cancel in the final result (see eq. (39)).

The generating functional in the background field method of QED in covariant gauge is given by

$$Z[A,J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu(A+Q)_\mu]\psi+J\cdot Q+\bar{\eta}\psi+\bar{\psi}\eta]} \tag{29}$$

where we have used the notation $Q^\mu(x)$ for the quantum photon field and $A^\mu(x)$ for the background field. The correlation function of the type $<0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>$ in the background field method of QED in covariant gauge is given by [5]

$$<0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu(A+Q)_\mu]\psi]} \tag{30}$$

where suppression of the factor $\frac{1}{Z[0]}$ is understood as it will cancel in the final result (see eq. (39)). We write eq. (30) as

$$<0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}'][d\psi']\ \bar{\psi}'(x_2)\psi'(x_1)e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}'[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu(A+Q)_\mu]\psi']} \tag{31}$$

because the change of integration variable from unprimed to primed variable does not change the value of the integration.

The light-like Wilson line in the background field method of QED is given by, see eqs. (11) and (18)

$$e^{ie\int_0^x dx'^\mu A_\mu(x')} \tag{32}$$

where $A^\mu(x)$ is the U(1) pure gauge potential as given by eq. (12). Hence for light-like Wilson line we find

$$\int_0^x dx'^\nu A_\nu(x') = \int_0^1 d\tau \frac{d\omega(x'(\tau))}{d\tau} = \omega(x)-\omega(0), \qquad x'(1)=x, \qquad x'(0)=0 \tag{33}$$

which gives

$$\partial_\mu[\int_0^x dx'^\nu A_\nu(x')] = \partial_\mu\omega(x) = A_\mu(x). \tag{34}$$

From eq. (18) let us define

$$\psi'(x) = e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x+l\lambda)}\psi(x) = e^{ie\omega(x)}\psi(x). \tag{35}$$

From eq. (19) we find for light-like Wilson line $[A^\mu(x) = \partial^\mu \omega(x)]$ that

$$\partial_\mu[\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x+l\lambda)] = -\partial_\mu[\frac{1}{l\cdot\partial}\ l\cdot A(x)] = -\partial_\mu\omega(x) = -A_\mu(x). \tag{36}$$

Hence when the background field $A^\mu(x)$ is the U(1) pure gauge as given by eq. (12), which is the case for light-like Wilson line, we find from eqs. (35), (36) and (31) that

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_2+l\lambda)}e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_1+l\lambda)}\psi(x_1) \\ \times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + e\gamma^\mu Q_\mu]\psi]} \tag{37}$$

which gives by using eq. (13)

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{ie\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A_\mu(x)}\psi(x_1)|0>_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1) \\ \times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + e\gamma^\mu Q_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{38}$$

Hence from eqs. (38) and (28) we find that

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0> = < 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{ie\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A_\mu(x)}\psi(x_1)|0>_A \tag{39}$$

where $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{ie\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A_\mu(x)}\psi(x_1)|0>_A$ is gauge invariant. By using eq. (13) we find from eq. (39) that

$$< \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1) > = < \bar{\psi}(x_2)\ e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_2+l\lambda)}e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_1+l\lambda)}\psi(x_1) >_A \tag{40}$$

which proves factorization of soft-collinear divergences in QED at all order in coupling constant in covariant gauge when light-like Wilson line is used. The eq. (40) was first proved by Tucci in [5]. We have included its derivation in this section because we will follow the similar path integral technique in the next section to prove that the factorization theorem is violated in QED if we replace the light-like Wilson line by the non-light-like Wilson line.

B. Proof of Factorization Theorem in QED With Light-Like Wilson Line in General Axial Gauge

The factorization of soft-collinear divergences in QED at all order in coupling constant in covariant gauge using light-like Wilson line which we proved in the previous sub-section can also be proved in other gauges. The generating functional in QED in the path integral formulation in general axial gauge is given by [20, 21]

$$Z[J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + e\gamma^\mu Q_\mu]\psi + J\cdot Q + \bar{\eta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\eta]} \tag{41}$$

where η^μ is an arbitrary but constant four vector

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^2 &< 0, && \text{axial gauge} \\ \eta^2 &= 0, && \text{light} - \text{cone gauge} \\ \eta^2 &> 0, && \text{temporal gauge.} \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >$ in QED in general axial gauge is given by [21]

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + e\gamma^\mu Q_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{43}$$

The generating functional in the background field method of QED in general axial gauge is given by

$$Z[A,J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + e\gamma^\mu (A+Q)_\mu]\psi + J\cdot Q + \bar{\eta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\eta]}. \tag{44}$$

The correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >$ in the background field method of QED in general axial gauge is given by

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + e\gamma^\mu (A+Q)_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{45}$$

We write eq. (45) as

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}'][d\psi']\ \bar{\psi}'(x_2)\psi'(x_1)e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}'[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + e\gamma^\mu (A+Q)_\mu]\psi']} \tag{46}$$

because the change of integration variable from unprimed to primed variable does not change the value of the integration.

Hence when the background field $A^\mu(x)$ is the U(1) pure gauge as given by eq. (12), which is the case for light-like Wilson line, we find from eqs. (35), (36) and (46) that

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_2+l\lambda)}e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_1+l\lambda)}\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu Q_\mu]\psi]} \tag{47}$$

which gives by using eq. (13)

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{ie\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A_\mu(x)}\psi(x_1)|0>_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu Q_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{48}$$

Hence from eqs. (48) and (43) we find that

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0> = < 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{ie\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A_\mu(x)}\psi(x_1)|0>_A \tag{49}$$

where $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{ie\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A_\mu(x)}\psi(x_1)|0>_A$ is gauge invariant. By using eq. (13) we find from eq. (49) that

$$< \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)> = < \bar{\psi}(x_2)\ e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_2+l\lambda)}e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_1+l\lambda)}\psi(x_1)>_A \tag{50}$$

which proves factorization of soft-collinear divergences in QED at all order in coupling constant in general axial gauge when light-like Wilson line is used.

C. Proof of Factorization Theorem in QED With Light-Like Wilson Line in Light-Cone Gauge

The light-cone gauge corresponds to [20–22]

$$\eta\cdot Q=0, \qquad\qquad \eta^2=0 \tag{51}$$

which is already covered by eqs. (41) and (42) where the corresponding gauge fixing term is given by -$\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta_\mu Q^\mu)^2$. In the light-cone coordinate system the light-cone gauge [22]

$$Q^+=0 \tag{52}$$

corresponds to

$$\eta^\mu=(\eta^+,\eta^-,\eta_\perp)=(0,1,0) \tag{53}$$

which covers $\eta \cdot Q = 0$ and $\eta^2 = 0$ situation in eq. (51).

Since eq. (50) is valid for general axial gauges [as given by eq. (42)] it is also valid for the light cone gauge $\eta^2 = 0$. Hence from eq. (50) we find in the light cone gauge that

$$< \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1) >=< \bar{\psi}(x_2)\ e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_2+l\lambda)}e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_1+l\lambda)}\psi(x_1) >_A \tag{54}$$

which proves factorization of soft-collinear divergences in QED at all order in coupling constant in light cone gauge when light-like Wilson line is used.

D. Proof of Factorization Theorem in QED With Light-Like Wilson Line in General Non-Covariant Gauge

The generating functional in QED in the path integral formulation in general non-covariant gauge is given by [23, 24]

$$Z[J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu Q_\mu]\psi+J\cdot Q+\bar{\eta}\psi+\bar{\psi}\eta]} \tag{55}$$

where η^μ is an arbitrary but constant four vector. The correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >$ in QED in general non-covariant gauge is given by

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu Q_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{56}$$

The generating functional in the background field method of QED in general non-covariant gauge is given by

$$Z[A,J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu (A+Q)_\mu]\psi+J\cdot Q+\bar{\eta}\psi+\bar{\psi}\eta]}. \tag{57}$$

The correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >$ in the background field method of QED in general non-covariant gauge is given by

$$\begin{aligned}< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A= &\int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)\\ &e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu (A+Q)_\mu]\psi]}.\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

We write eq. (58) as

$$\begin{aligned}< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A= &\int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}'][d\psi']\ \bar{\psi}'(x_2)\psi'(x_1)\\ &e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}'[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu (A+Q)_\mu]\psi']}\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

because the change of integration variable from unprimed to primed variable does not change the value of the integration.

Hence when the background field $A^\mu(x)$ is the U(1) pure gauge as given by eq. (12), which is the case for light-like Wilson line, we find from eqs. (35), (36) and (59) that

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2) e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_2+l\lambda)} e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_1+l\lambda)} \psi(x_1)$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu Q_\mu]\psi]} \tag{60}$$

which gives by using eq. (13)

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2) e^{ie\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A_\mu(x)} \psi(x_1)|0 >_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu Q_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{61}$$

Hence from eqs. (61) and (56) we find that

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 > = < 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2) e^{ie\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A_\mu(x)} \psi(x_1)|0 >_A \tag{62}$$

where $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2) e^{ie\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A_\mu(x)} \psi(x_1)|0 >_A$ is gauge invariant. By using eq. (13) we find from eq. (62) that

$$< \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1) > = < \bar{\psi}(x_2)\ e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_2+l\lambda)} e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_1+l\lambda)} \psi(x_1) >_A \tag{63}$$

which proves factorization of soft-collinear divergences in QED at all order in coupling constant in general non-covariant gauge when light-like Wilson line is used.

E. Proof of Factorization Theorem in QED With Light-Like Wilson Line in General Coulomb Gauge

The generating functional in QED in the path integral formulation in general Coulomb gauge is given by [23, 24]

$$Z[J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}([g^{\mu\nu}-\frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}]\partial_\mu Q_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu Q_\mu]\psi+J\cdot Q+\bar{\eta}\psi+\bar{\psi}\eta]} \tag{64}$$

where

$$n^\mu = (1,0,0,0). \tag{65}$$

The correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >$ in QED in general Coulomb gauge is given by

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}([g^{\mu\nu}-\frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}]\partial_\mu Q_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu Q_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{66}$$

The generating functional in the background field method of QED in general Coulomb gauge is given by

$$Z[A,J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}([g^{\mu\nu}-\frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}]\partial_\mu Q_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu(A+Q)_\mu]\psi+J\cdot Q+\bar{\eta}\psi+\bar{\psi}\eta]}. \tag{67}$$

The correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >$ in the background field method of QED in general Coulomb gauge is given by

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}([g^{\mu\nu}-\frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}]\partial_\mu Q_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu(A+Q)_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{68}$$

Hence by replacing $\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2} \rightarrow [g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}]$ everywhere in the derivations in the previous subsection we find

$$< \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1) >=< \bar{\psi}(x_2)\ e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_2+l\lambda)} e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A(x_1+l\lambda)}\psi(x_1) >_A \tag{69}$$

which proves factorization of soft-collinear divergences in QED at all order in coupling constant in general Coulomb gauge when light-like Wilson line is used.

V. VIOLATION OF FACTORIZATION THEOREM OF SOFT-COLLINEAR DIVERGENCES IN QED WITH NON-LIGHT-LIKE WILSON LINE

The main reason why factorization theorem of soft-collinear divergences in QED is violated when the non-light-like Wilson line is used can be easily seen from eq. (34) which is only valid for the light-like Wilson line but not valid for the non-light-like Wilson line. When the Wilson line is non-light-like then in addition to $A_\mu(x)$ [like in the right hand side of eq. (34)] one will also have a term containing non-zero $F_{\mu\nu}(x)$, the form of which can be arbitrary, which spoils the factorization theorem.

For the non-light-like Wilson line we find

$$\partial_\mu[\int_0^x dx'^\nu A_\nu(x')] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}[\int_0^1 d\tau \frac{dx'^\nu(\tau)}{d\tau} A_\nu(x'(\tau))], \qquad x'(0) = 0, \qquad x'(1) = x \tag{70}$$

which gives

$$\partial_\mu[\int_0^x dx'^\nu A_\nu(x')] = \int_0^1 d\tau [\frac{d[\frac{\partial x'^\nu(\tau)}{\partial x^\mu}]}{d\tau} A_\nu(x'(\tau)) + \frac{\partial A_\nu(x'(\tau))}{\partial x'^\delta(\tau)} \frac{\partial x'^\delta(\tau)}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{dx'^\nu(\tau)}{d\tau}]. \tag{71}$$

Integrating by parts we find

$$\partial_\mu[\int_0^x dx'^\nu A_\nu(x')] = [\frac{\partial x'^\nu(\tau)}{\partial x^\mu} A_\nu(x'(\tau))]_{\tau=0}^{\tau=1} - \int_0^1 d\tau \frac{\partial x'^\nu(\tau)}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial A_\nu(x'(\tau))}{\partial x'^\delta(\tau)} \frac{dx'^\delta(\tau)}{d\tau}$$
$$+ \int_0^1 d\tau \frac{\partial A_\nu(x'(\tau))}{\partial x'^\delta(\tau)} \frac{\partial x'^\delta(\tau)}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{dx'^\nu(\tau)}{d\tau} \tag{72}$$

which gives

$$\partial_\mu[\int_0^x dx'^\nu A_\nu(x')] = A_\mu(x) + \int_0^1 d\tau F_{\nu\delta}(x'(\tau)) \frac{\partial x'^\delta(\tau)}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{dx'^\nu(\tau)}{d\tau}. \tag{73}$$

Note that for pure gauge we have

$$F_{\mu\nu}(x) = 0 \tag{74}$$

which when used in eq. in (73) reproduces eq. (34) which is valid for the light-like Wilson line. Hence one finds that for the non-light-like Wilson line, it is the term containing non-zero $F_{\mu\nu}(x)$ in the right hand side of eq. (73), the form of which can be arbitrary, which spoils the factorization theorem of soft-collinear divergences in QED.

A. Violation of Factorization Theorem in QED With Non-Light-Like Wilson Line in Covariant Gauge

Note that eq. (30) is valid for any arbitrary background field $A^\mu(x)$. Hence we start from eq. (30) by following exactly the same procedure that was followed for light-like Wilson line case. By changing the integration variable from unprimed variable to primed variable we find from eq. (30)

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}'][d\psi'] \ \bar{\psi}'(x_2)\psi'(x_1) e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q] - \frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^\mu)^2 + \bar{\psi}'[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + e\gamma^\mu(A+Q)_\mu]\psi']}. \tag{75}$$

This is because a change of integration variable from unprimed to primed variable does not change the value of the integration. For the non-light-like Wilson line let us define the primed variable in analogous to the light-like Wilson line case [similar to eq. (35)]

$$\psi'(x) = e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x+v\lambda)}\psi(x) \tag{76}$$

where v^μ is the non-light-like four velocity and $A^\mu(x)$ is not the U(1) pure gauge. Using contour integration we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x+v\lambda) = \int_0^\infty d\lambda e^{\lambda v\cdot\partial}\ v\cdot A(x) = \int_0^\infty d\lambda e^{\lambda v\cdot\partial}\ \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ik\cdot x} v\cdot A(k)\\ &= \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\int_0^\infty d\lambda e^{i\lambda v\cdot k}\ e^{ik\cdot x} v\cdot A(k) = -\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{1}{iv\cdot k}\ e^{ik\cdot x} v\cdot A(k) = -\frac{1}{v\cdot\partial}\ v\cdot A(x)\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

which gives [since $A^\mu(x) \neq \partial^\mu\omega(x)$]

$$\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x+v\lambda) = -\partial_\mu[\frac{1}{v\cdot\partial}\ v\cdot A(x)] \neq -A_\mu(x). \tag{78}$$

Note that when $A^\mu(x)$ is the U(1) pure gauge [$A^\mu(x) = \partial^\mu\omega(x)$] we find from eq. (36) that $\partial_\mu[\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x+v\lambda)] = -A_\mu(x)$ which precisely cancels the A^μ present in the lagrangian density in the background field method of QED [see the derivation of eq. (37) from eq. (31)]. This is the reason why the soft-collinear divergences are factorized in QED when $A^\mu(x)$ is the U(1) pure gauge. However, when $A^\mu(x)$ is not the U(1) pure gauge then such a cancelation of the $A^\mu(x)$ in the lagrangian density in the background field method of QED does not happen [see eq. (78)] which is why the soft-collinear divergences are not factorized in QED when $A^\mu(x)$ is not the U(1) pure gauge.

From eq. (78) we find for the non-light-like Wilson line [$A^\mu(x) \neq \partial^\mu\omega(x)$] that

$$e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x+v\lambda)} \neq e^{ie\omega(x)} \tag{79}$$

where $A^\mu(x)$ is not the U(1) pure gauge.

Hence we find that the equality relation holds for light-like Wilson line, as in eq. (18) where $A^\mu(x)$ is the U(1) pure gauge, whereas the equality relation does not hold for non-light-like Wilson line, as in eq. (79) where $A^\mu(x)$ is not the U(1) pure gauge. By using eqs. (76) and (77) in (75) we find

$$\begin{aligned}&< 0|\bar\psi(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A = \int [dQ][d\bar\psi][d\psi]\ \bar\psi(x_2) e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_2+v\lambda)} e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_1+v\lambda)}\psi(x_1)\\ &\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar\psi[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + e\gamma^\mu(A+Q)_\mu - e\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu[\frac{1}{v\cdot\partial}\ v\cdot A(x)]]\psi]}\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

which gives

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_2+v\lambda)}e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_1+v\lambda)}\psi(x_1)|0>_A= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu(A+Q)_\mu-e\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu[\frac{1}{v\cdot\partial}\ v\cdot A(x)]]\psi]}. \tag{81}$$

Hence from eqs. (78), (81) and (28) we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >\neq< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_2+v\lambda)}e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_1+v\lambda)}\psi(x_1)|0 >_A \tag{82}$$

which implies the violation of the factorization theorem for soft-collinear divergences in QED at all order in coupling constant when the non-light-like Wilson line is used in covariant gauge. Note that the equality relation holds for light-like Wilson line, as in eq. (40), proving the factorization theorem of soft-collinear divergences in QED when light-like Wilson line is used.

Hence by using path integral formulation of QED we have proved that the factorization theorem is valid for light-like Wilson line [see eq. (40)] but the factorization theorem is not valid for non-light-like Wilson line [see eq. (82)].

Since QCD is obtained from QED by extending U(1) gauge group to SU(3) gauge group we expect that the factorization theorem in QCD should be valid for light-like Wilson line but the factorization theorem in QCD should not be valid for non-light-like Wilson line.

B. Violation of Factorization Theorem in QED With Non-Light-Like Wilson Line in General Axial Gauge

The correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >$ in the background field method of QED in general axial gauge is given by eq. (45) which is valid for arbitrary background field $A^\mu(x)$. Hence from eq. (45) we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}'][d\psi']\ \bar{\psi}'(x_2)\psi'(x_1)e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}'[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu(A+Q)_\mu]\psi']} \tag{83}$$

because the change of integration variable from unprimed to primed variable does not change the value of the integration.

By using eqs. (76) and (77) in (83) we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_2+v\lambda)}e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_1+v\lambda)}\psi(x_1)|0 >_A= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta_\mu Q^\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu(A+Q)_\mu-e\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu[\frac{1}{v\cdot\partial}\ v\cdot A(x)]]\psi]}. \tag{84}$$

Hence from eqs. (78), (84) and (43) we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 > \neq < 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_2+v\lambda)}e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_1+v\lambda)}\psi(x_1)|0 >_A \tag{85}$$

which implies the violation of the factorization theorem for soft-collinear divergences in QED at all order in coupling constant when the non-light-like Wilson line is used in general axial gauge.

C. Violation of Factorization Theorem in QED With Non-Light-Like Wilson Line in Light Cone Gauge

Since eq. (85) is valid for general axial gauges [see eq. (42)] it is also valid for light cone gauge ($\eta^2 = 0$). Hence from eq. (85) we find that in the light cone gauge

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 > \neq < 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_2+v\lambda)}e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_1+v\lambda)}\psi(x_1)|0 >_A \tag{86}$$

which implies the violation of the factorization theorem for soft-collinear divergences in QED at all order in coupling constant when the non-light-like Wilson line is used in light cone gauge.

D. Violation of Factorization Theorem in QED With Non-Light-Like Wilson Line in General Non-Covariant Gauge

The correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >$ in the background field method of QED in general non-covariant gauge is given by eq. (58) which is valid for arbitrary background field $A^\mu(x)$. Hence from eq. (58) we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}'][d\psi']\ \bar{\psi}'(x_2)\psi'(x_1)$$
$$e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}'[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu(A+Q)_\mu]\psi']} \tag{87}$$

because the change of integration variable from unprimed to primed variable does not change the value of the integration.

By using eqs. (76) and (77) in (87) we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_2+v\lambda)}e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_1+v\lambda)}\psi(x_1)|0 >_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+e\gamma^\mu(A+Q)_\mu-e\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu[\frac{1}{v\cdot\partial}\ v\cdot A(x)]]\psi]}. \tag{88}$$

Hence from eqs. (78), (88) and (56) we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 > \neq < 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_2+v\lambda)}e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_1+v\lambda)}\psi(x_1)|0 >_A \tag{89}$$

which implies the violation of the factorization theorem for soft-collinear divergences in QED at all order in coupling constant when the non-light-like Wilson line is used in general non-covariant gauge.

E. Violation of Factorization Theorem in QED With Non-Light-Like Wilson Line in General Coulomb Gauge

The correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >$ in the background field method of QED in general Coulomb gauge is given by eq. (68) which is valid for arbitrary background field $A^\mu(x)$.

Hence by replacing $\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2} \to [g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}]$ everywhere in the derivations in the previous sub-section we find that in general Coulomb gauge

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 > \neq < 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)e^{-ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_2+v\lambda)}e^{ie\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A(x_1+v\lambda)}\psi(x_1)|0 >_A \tag{90}$$

which implies the violation of the factorization theorem for soft-collinear divergences in QED at all order in coupling constant when the non-light-like Wilson line is used in general Coulomb gauge.

VI. SOFT-COLLINEAR DIVERGENCES AND LIGHT-LIKE WILSON LINE IN QCD

The gauge transformation of the quark field in QCD is given by

$$\psi'(x) = e^{igT^a\omega^a(x)}\psi(x). \tag{91}$$

Hence one finds that the issue of gauge invariance and factorization of soft-collinear divergences in QCD can be simultaneously explained if $\omega^a(x)$ can be related to the soft-collinear gluon field $A^{\mu a}(x)$. This is easily done by using Eikonal Feynman rules in QCD for soft-collinear divergences when light-like Wilson line is used which can be seen as follows.

The Eikonal propagator times the Eikonal vertex for a gluon with four momentum k^μ interacting with a quark moving with four momentum p^μ in the limit $k <<< p$ is given by [1, 5, 9–15]

$$gT^a \ \frac{p^\mu}{p\cdot k + i\epsilon}. \tag{92}$$

In QCD the soft divergence arises only from the emission of a gluon for which all components of the four-momentum k^μ are small ($k \to 0$) which is evident from eq. (92). From eq. (92) one also finds that when $0 < k <<< p$ and $\vec{p}$ is parallel to $\vec{k}$ one may find collinear divergence in QCD.

However, since

$$p\cdot k = p_0 k_0 - \vec{p}\cdot\vec{k} = |\vec{p}||\vec{k}|(\sqrt{1+\frac{m^2}{\vec{p}^2}} - \cos\theta) \tag{93}$$

one finds that the collinear divergence does not appear when quark interacts with a collinear gluon because of the non-vanishing mass of the quark, *i. e.*, $m \neq 0$ even if the mass of the light quark is very small. From eq. (93) one finds that the collinear divergence appears only when $m = 0$ and $\theta = 0$ where θ is the angle between $\vec{p}$ and $\vec{k}$. Since gluons are massless and the massless gluons interact with each other one finds that the collinear divergence appears in QCD. Since a massless particle is always light-like one finds that the soft-collinear divergences can be described by light-like Wilson line in QCD.

For light-like quark we find from eq. (92)

$$gT^a \ \frac{p^\mu}{p\cdot k + i\epsilon} = gT^a \ \frac{l^\mu}{l\cdot k + i\epsilon} \tag{94}$$

where l^μ is the light-like four-velocity ($|\vec{l}|$=1) of the quark. Note that when we say the "light-like quark" we mean the quark that is traveling at its highest speed which is arbitrarily close to the speed of light ($|\vec{l}| \sim 1$) as it can not travel exactly at speed of light because it has finite mass even if the mass of the light quark is very small. On the other hand a massless gluon is light-like and it always remains light-like. Hence we find that if l^μ is light-like four velocity then the soft-collinear divergence in QCD can be described by the Eikonal Feynman rule as given by eq. (94). Note that the Eikonal Feynman rule in eq. (94) is also valid if we replace the light-like quark by light-like gluon provided we replace $T^a_{bc} = -if^{abc}$.

From eq. (94) we find

$$gT^a \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{l\cdot A^a(k)}{l\cdot k + i\epsilon} = -gT^a i \int_0^\infty d\lambda \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{il\cdot k\lambda} l\cdot A^a(k) = igT^a \int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^a(l\lambda) \tag{95}$$

where the gluon field $A^{\mu a}(x)$ and its Fourier transform $A^{\mu a}(k)$ are related by

$$A^{\mu a}(x) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} A^{\mu a}(k) e^{ik\cdot x}. \tag{96}$$

Note that a path ordering in QCD is required which can be seen as follows, see also [25]. The Eikonal contribution for the soft-collinear divergence in QCD arising from a single soft-collinear gluon exchange in Feynman diagram is given by eq. (95). Now consider the corresponding Feynman diagram for the soft-collinear divergences in QCD due to exchange of two soft-collinear gluons of four-momenta k_1^μ and k_2^μ. The corresponding Eikonal contribution due to two soft-collinear gluons exchange is analogously given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&g^2 \int \frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4k_2}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{T^a l\cdot A^a(k_2) T^b l\cdot A^b(k_1)}{(l\cdot(k_1+k_2)+i\epsilon)(l\cdot k_1+i\epsilon)} \\
&= g^2 i^2 \int_0^\infty d\lambda_2 \int_{\lambda_2}^\infty d\lambda_1 T^a l\cdot A^a(l\lambda_2) T^b l\cdot A^b(l\lambda_1) \\
&= \frac{g^2 i^2}{2!} \mathcal{P} \int_0^\infty d\lambda_2 \int_0^\infty d\lambda_1 T^a l\cdot A^a(l\lambda_2) T^b l\cdot A^b(l\lambda_1)
\end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the path ordering. Extending this calculation up to infinite number of soft-collinear gluons we find that the Eikonal contribution for the soft-collinear divergences due to soft-collinear gluons exchange with the light-like quark in QCD is given by the path ordered exponential

$$\mathcal{P}\ \exp[ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^a(l\lambda)T^a] \tag{98}$$

where l^μ is the light-like four velocity of the quark. The Wilson line in QCD is given by

$$\mathcal{P}e^{ig\int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx^\mu A_\mu^a(x)T^a} \tag{99}$$

which is the solution of the equation [26]

$$\partial_\mu S(x) = igT^a A_\mu^a(x) S(x) \tag{100}$$

with initial condition

$$S(x_i) = 1. \tag{101}$$

When $A^{\mu a}(x) = A^{\mu a}(l\lambda)$ as in eq. (98) we find from eq. (99) that the light-like Wilson line in QCD for soft-collinear divergences is given by [17]

$$\mathcal{P}e^{ig\int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx^\mu A_\mu^a(x)T^a} = \left[\mathcal{P}e^{-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^a(x_f+l\lambda)T^a}\right] \mathcal{P}e^{ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^b(x_i+l\lambda)T^b}. \tag{102}$$

A light-like quark traveling with light-like four-velocity l^μ produces SU(3) pure gauge potential $A^{\mu a}(x)$ at all the time-space position x^μ except at the position $\vec{x}$ perpendicular to the direction of motion of the quark ($\vec{l}\cdot\vec{x}=0$) at the time of closest approach [2–4]. When $A^{\mu a}(x)=A^{\mu a}(\lambda l)$ as in eq. (98) we find $\vec{l}\cdot\vec{x}=\lambda\vec{l}\cdot\vec{l}=\lambda\neq 0$ which implies that the light-like Wilson line finds the gluon field $A^{\mu a}(x)$ in eq. (98) as the SU(3) pure gauge. The SU(3) pure gauge is given by

$$T^aA^a_\mu(x)=\frac{1}{ig}[\partial_\mu U(x)]\ U^{-1}(x),\qquad\qquad U(x)=e^{igT^a\omega^a(x)}\tag{103}$$

which gives

$$U(x_f)=\mathcal{P}e^{ig\int_{x_i}^{x_f}dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)T^a}U(x_i)=e^{igT^a\omega^a(x_f)}.\tag{104}$$

Hence when $A^{\mu a}(x)=A^{\mu a}(\lambda l)$ as in eq. (98) we find from eqs. (102) and (104) that the light-like Wilson line in QCD for soft-collinear divergences is given by

$$\mathcal{P}e^{ie\int_{x_i}^{x_f}dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)T^a}=e^{igT^a\omega(x_f)}e^{-igT^b\omega^b(x_i)}=\left[\mathcal{P}e^{-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^a(x_f+l\lambda)T^a}\right]\mathcal{P}e^{ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^b(x_i+l\lambda)T^b}\tag{105}$$

which depends only on end points x_i^μ and x_f^μ but is independent of the path. The path independence can also be found from the non-abelian Stokes theorem which can be seen as follows. The SU(3) pure gauge in eq. (103) gives

$$F^a_{\mu\nu}[A]=\partial_\mu A^a_\nu(x)-\partial_\nu A^a_\mu(x)+gf^{abc}A^b_\mu(x)A^c_\nu(x)=0.\tag{106}$$

Using eq. (106) in the non-abelian Stokes theorem [27] we find

$$\mathcal{P}e^{ig\oint_C dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)T^a}=\mathcal{P}\text{exp}[ig\int_S dx^\mu dx^\nu\left[\mathcal{P}e^{ig\int_y^x dx'^\lambda A^b_\lambda(x')T^b}\right]F^a_{\mu\nu}(x)T^a\left[\mathcal{P}e^{ig\int_x^y dx''^\delta A^c_\delta(x'')T^c}\right]]=1\tag{107}$$

where C is a closed path and S is the surface enclosing C. Now considering two different paths L and M with common end points x_i^μ and x_f^μ we find from eq. (107)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}e^{ig\oint_C dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)T^a}&=\mathcal{P}\text{exp}[ig\int_L dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)T^a-ig\int_M dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)T^a]\\&=\left[\mathcal{P}e^{ig\int_L dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)T^a}\right]\left[\mathcal{P}e^{-ig\int_M dx^\nu A^b_\nu(x)T^b}\right]=1\end{aligned}\tag{108}$$

which implies that the light-like Wilson line in QCD

$$\mathcal{P}e^{ig\int_{x_i}^{x_f}dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)T^a}\tag{109}$$

depends only on the end points x_i^μ and x_f^μ but is independent of the path which can also be seen from eq. (105). Hence from eq. (105) we find that the non-abelian phase or the gauge link in QCD is given by

$$\Phi(x) = \mathcal{P}e^{-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^a(x+l\lambda)T^a} = e^{igT^a\omega^a(x)}. \tag{110}$$

Note that from eq. (106) we find the vanishing physical gauge invariant field strength square $F^{\mu\nu a}[A]F^a_{\mu\nu}[A]$ when $A^{\mu a}(x)$ is the SU(3) pure gauge as given by eq. (103). Hence in classical mechanics the SU(3) pure gauge potential does not have an effect on color charged particle and one expects the effect of exchange of soft-collinear gluons to simply vanish. However, in quantum mechanics the situation is a little more complicated, because the gauge potential does have an effect on color charged particle even if it is SU(3) pure gauge potential and hence one should not expect the effect of exchange of soft-collinear gluons to simply vanish [2]. This can be verified by studying the non-perturbative correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x')\bar{\psi}(x'')\psi(x''')...|0 >$ in QCD in the presence of SU(3) pure gauge background field.

Under non-abelian gauge transformation given by

$$T^aA'^a_\mu(x) = U(x)T^aA^a_\mu(x)U^{-1}(x) + \frac{1}{ig}[\partial_\mu U(x)]U^{-1}(x), \qquad\qquad U(x) = e^{igT^a\omega^a(x)} \tag{111}$$

the Wilson line in QCD transforms as [28]

$$\mathcal{P}e^{ie\int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx^\mu A'^a_\mu(x)T^a} = U(x_f)\left[\mathcal{P}e^{ie\int_{x_i}^{x_f} dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)T^a}\right]U^{-1}(x_i). \tag{112}$$

From eqs. (105) and (112) we find

$$\mathcal{P}e^{-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A'^a(x+l\lambda)T^a} = U(x)\mathcal{P}e^{-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^a(x+l\lambda)T^a}, \qquad\qquad U(x) = \exp[igT^a\omega^a(x)] \tag{113}$$

which gives from eq. (110)

$$\Phi'(x) = U(x)\Phi(x), \qquad\qquad \Phi'^\dagger(x) = \Phi^\dagger(x)U^{-1}(x). \tag{114}$$

To summarize this, we find that the soft-collinear divergences in the perturbative Feynman diagrams due to soft-collinear gluons interaction with the light-like Wilson line in QCD is given by the path ordered exponential in eq. (98) which is nothing but the non-abelian phase or the gauge link in QCD as given by eq. (110) where the gluon field $A^{\mu a}(x)$ is the SU(3) pure gauge, see eqs. (103), (104), (105). This implies that the effect of soft-collinear gluons interaction between the partons and the light-like Wilson line in QCD can be studied by putting the partons in the SU(3) pure gauge background field. Hence we find that the soft-collinear behavior of the non-perturbative correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x')\bar{\psi}(x'')\psi(x''')...|0 >$ in QCD due to the presence of light-like Wilson line in QCD can be studied by using the path integral method of the QCD in the presence of SU(3) pure gauge background field.

It can be mentioned here that in soft collinear effective theory (SCET) [29] it is also necessary to use the idea of background fields [30] to give well defined meaning to several distinct gluon fields [10].

Note that a massive color source traveling at speed much less than speed of light can not produce SU(3) pure gauge field [2–4]. Hence when one replaces light-like Wilson line with massive Wilson line one expects the factorization of soft/infrared divergences to break down. This is in conformation with the finding in [7, 8] which used the diagrammatic method of QCD to prove factorization theorem in NRQCD heavy quarkonium production in case of light-like Wilson line [7] and violation of factorization theorem in NRQCD heavy quarkonium production in case of non-light-like Wilson line [8]. In case of massive Wilson line in QCD the color transfer occurs and the factorization breaks down [8]. Note that in case of massive Wilson line there is no collinear divergences which is explained in eq. (93).

VII. PROOF OF FACTORIZATION OF SOFT-COLLINEAR DIVERGENCES IN QCD WITH LIGHT-LIKE WILSON LINE

By using path integral formulation of QED we have proved in section IV that the factorization theorem is valid in QED when light-like Wilson line is used. Similarly, by using path integral formulation of QED we have proved in section V that the factorization theorem is not valid in QED when non-light-like Wilson line is used. Since QCD is obtained from QED by extending U(1) gauge group to SU(3) gauge group we expect that the factorization theorem in QCD should be valid for light-like Wilson line but the factorization theorem in QCD should not be valid for non-light-like Wilson line.

In this section we will prove that the Factorization theorem is valid in QCD for light-like Wilson line and in the next section we will prove that the factorization theorem is not valid in QCD for non-light-like Wilson line.

A. Proof of Factorization Theorem in QCD With Light-Like Wilson Line in Covariant Gauge

The generating functional in the path integral method of QCD in the covariant gauge is given by [30, 31]

$$Z[J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \det(\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})}{\delta \omega^b})\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m + gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi + J\cdot Q + \bar{\eta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\eta]} \tag{115}$$

where $J^{\mu a}(x)$ is the external source for the quantum gluon field $Q^{\mu a}(x)$ and $\bar{\eta}_i(x)$ is the external source for the Dirac field $\psi_i(x)$ of the quark and

$$F^a_{\mu\nu}[Q] = \partial_\mu Q^a_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu Q^a_\mu(x) + gf^{abc}Q^b_\mu(x)Q^c_\nu(x), \qquad {F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q] = F^{\mu\nu a}[Q]F^a_{\mu\nu}[Q]. \tag{116}$$

Note that the Faddeev-Popov (F-P) determinant $\det(\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})}{\delta \omega^b})$ can be expressed in terms of path integral over the ghost fields [31] but we will directly work with the Faddeev-Popov (F-P) determinant $\det(\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})}{\delta \omega^b})$ in this paper. The non-perturbative correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >$ in QCD in the covariant gauge is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1) \\ &\times \det(\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})}{\delta \omega^b})\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m + gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]}. \end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

We have seen in the previous section that the soft-collinear behavior of the non-perturbative correlation function due to the presence of light-like Wilson line in QCD can be studied by using the path integral method of the QCD in the presence of SU(3) pure gauge background field. Hence we use the path integral formulation of the background field method of QCD in the presence of SU(3) pure gauge background field as given by eq. (103) to prove factorization theorem in QCD.

Background field method of QCD was originally formulated by 't Hooft [32] and later extended by Klueberg-Stern and Zuber [33, 34] and by Abbott [30]. This is an elegant formalism which can be useful to construct gauge invariant non-perturbative green's functions in QCD. This formalism is also useful to study quark and gluon production from classical chromo field [35] via Schwinger mechanism [36], to compute β function in QCD [37], to perform calculations in lattice gauge theories [38] and to study evolution of QCD coupling constant in the presence of chromofield [39].

The generating functional in the path integral formulation of the background field method of QCD in the covariant gauge is given by [30, 32, 33]

$$Z[A,J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \det(\frac{\delta G^a(Q)}{\delta \omega^b}) \\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[A+Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(G^a(Q))^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu(A+Q)^a_\mu]\psi+J\cdot Q+\bar{\eta}\psi+\bar{\psi}\eta]} \tag{118}$$

where the gauge fixing term is given by

$$G^a(Q) = \partial_\mu Q^{\mu a} + gf^{abc}A^b_\mu Q^{\mu c} = D_\mu[A]Q^{\mu a} \tag{119}$$

which depends on the background field $A^{\mu a}(x)$ and

$$F^a_{\mu\nu}[A+Q] = \partial_\mu[A^a_\nu+Q^a_\nu] - \partial_\nu[A^a_\mu+Q^a_\mu] + gf^{abc}[A^b_\mu+Q^b_\mu][A^c_\nu+Q^c_\nu]. \tag{120}$$

We have followed the notations of [30, 32, 33] and accordingly we have denoted the quantum gluon field by $Q^{\mu a}$ and the background field by $A^{\mu a}$. Note that in the absence of the external sources the SU(3) pure gauge can be gauged away from the generating functional in the background field method of QCD. However, in the presence of the external sources the SU(3) pure gauge can not be gauged away from the generating functional in the background field method of QCD.

The non-perturbative correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A$ in the background field method of QCD in the covariant gauge is given by

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1) \\ \times\det(\frac{\delta G^a(Q)}{\delta\omega^b})e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[A+Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(G^a(Q))^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu(A+Q)^a_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{121}$$

The gauge fixing term $\frac{1}{2\alpha}(G^a(Q))^2$ in eq. (118) [where $G^a(Q)$ is given by eq. (119)] is invariant for gauge transformation of A^a_μ:

$$\delta A^a_\mu = gf^{abc}A^b_\mu\omega^c + \partial_\mu\omega^a, \qquad \text{(type I transformation)} \tag{122}$$

provided one also performs a homogeneous transformation of Q^a_μ [30, 33]:

$$\delta Q^a_\mu = gf^{abc}Q^b_\mu\omega^c. \tag{123}$$

The gauge transformation of background field A_μ^a as given by eq. (122) along with the homogeneous transformation of Q_μ^a in eq. (123) gives

$$\delta(A_\mu^a + Q_\mu^a) = gf^{abc}(A_\mu^b + Q_\mu^b)\omega^c + \partial_\mu \omega^a \tag{124}$$

which leaves $-\frac{1}{4}F^{a2}_{\mu\nu}[A+Q]$ invariant in eq. (118).

For fixed A_μ^a, *i.e.*, for

$$\delta A_\mu^a = 0, \qquad \text{(type II transformation)} \tag{125}$$

the gauge transformation of Q_μ^a [30, 33]:

$$\delta Q_\mu^a = gf^{abc}(A_\mu^b + Q_\mu^b)\omega^c + \partial_\mu \omega^a \tag{126}$$

gives eq. (124) which leaves $-\frac{1}{4}F^{a2}_{\mu\nu}[A+Q]$ invariant in eq. (118). Hence whether we use type I transformation [eqs. (122) and (123)] or type II transformation [eqs. (125) and (126)] we will obtain the same equation (156).

It is useful to remember that, unlike QED [5], finding an exact relation between the generating functional $Z[J,\eta,\bar{\eta}]$ in QCD in eq. (115) and the generating functional $Z[A,J,\eta,\bar{\eta}]$ in the background field method of QCD in eq. (118) in the presence of SU(3) pure gauge background field is not easy. The main difficulty is due to the gauge fixing terms which are different in both the cases. While the Lorentz (covariant) gauge fixing term $-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})^2$ in eq. (115) in QCD is independent of the background field $A^{\mu a}(x)$, the background field gauge fixing term $-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(G^a(Q))^2$ in eq. (118) in the background field method of QCD depends on the background field $A^{\mu a}(x)$ where $G^a(Q)$ is given by eq. (119) [30, 32, 33]. Hence in order to study non-perturbative correlation function in the background field method of QCD in the presence of SU(3) pure gauge background field we proceed as follows.

By changing the integration variable $Q \to Q - A$ in the right hand side of eq. (121) we find

$$\begin{aligned} &< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1) \\ &\times \det\left(\frac{\delta G_f^a(Q)}{\delta \omega^b}\right)\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^{a2}_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(G_f^a(Q))^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + gT^a\gamma^\mu Q_\mu^a]\psi]} \end{aligned} \tag{127}$$

where from eq. (119) we find

$$G_f^a(Q) = \partial_\mu Q^{\mu a} + gf^{abc}A_\mu^b Q^{\mu c} - \partial_\mu A^{\mu a} = D_\mu[A]Q^{\mu a} - \partial_\mu A^{\mu a} \tag{128}$$

and from eq. (123) [by using eq. (122)] we find

$$\delta Q^a_\mu = -gf^{abc}\omega^b Q^c_\mu + \partial_\mu \omega^a. \tag{129}$$

The eqs. (127), (128) and (129) can also be derived by using type II transformation which can be seen as follows. By changing $Q \to Q - A$ in eq. (121) we find eq. (127) where the gauge fixing term from eq. (119) becomes eq. (128) and eq. (126) [by using eq. (125)] becomes eq. (129). Hence we obtain eqs. (127), (128) and (129) whether we use the type I transformation or type II transformation. Hence we find that we will obtain the same eq. (156) whether we use the type I transformation or type II transformation.

Changing the integration variable from unprimed variable to primed variable we find from eq. (127)

$$\begin{aligned}
&< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A = \int [dQ'][d\bar{\psi}'][d\psi'] \ \bar{\psi}'(x_2)\psi'(x_1) \\
&\times \det(\frac{\delta G^a_f(Q')}{\delta \omega^b}) \ \ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q'] - \frac{1}{2\alpha}(G^a_f(Q'))^2 + \bar{\psi}'[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + gT^a\gamma^\mu Q'^a_\mu]\psi']}.
\end{aligned} \tag{130}$$

This is because a change of integration variable from unprimed variable to primed variable does not change the value of the integration.

The equation

$$Q'^a_\mu(x) = Q^a_\mu(x) + gf^{abc}\omega^c(x)Q^b_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu\omega^a(x) \tag{131}$$

in eq. (129) is valid for infinitesimal transformation ($\omega << 1$) which is obtained from the finite equation

$$T^a Q'^a_\mu(x) = U(x)T^a Q^a_\mu(x)U^{-1}(x) + \frac{1}{ig}[\partial_\mu U(x)]U^{-1}(x) \tag{132}$$

where

$$U(x) = \mathcal{P}e^{-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^a(x+l\lambda)T^a} = e^{igT^a\omega^a(x)}. \tag{133}$$

Simplifying infinite numbers of non-commuting terms we find

$$\Big[\ e^{-igT^b\omega^b(x)} \ T^a \ e^{igT^c\omega^c(x)} \ \Big]_{ij} = [e^{-gM(x)}]_{ab} T^b_{ij} \tag{134}$$

where

$$M_{ab}(x) = f^{abc}\omega^c(x). \tag{135}$$

Hence by simplifying infinite numbers of non-commuting terms in eq. (132) [by using eq. (134) and [3]] we find that

$$Q'^a_\mu(x) = [e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}Q^b_\mu(x) \ + \ [\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}]_{ab} \ [\partial_\mu \omega^b(x)], \qquad\qquad M_{ab}(x) = f^{abc}\omega^c(x). \tag{136}$$

Under the finite transformation, using eq. (136), we find

$$[dQ'] = [dQ] \ \det[\frac{\partial Q'^a}{\partial Q^b}] = [dQ] \ \det[[e^{gM(x)}]] = [dQ]\exp[\text{Tr}(\ln[e^{gM(x)}])] = [dQ] \tag{137}$$

where we have used (for any matrix H)

$$\det H = \exp[\text{Tr}(\ln H)]. \tag{138}$$

Let us define

$$\psi'(x) = \mathcal{P}e^{-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^a(x+l\lambda)T^a}\psi(x) = e^{igT^a\omega^a(x)}\psi(x). \tag{139}$$

When $A^{\mu a}(x)$ is SU(3) pure gauge we find by using eqs. (136) and (139) that

$$\begin{aligned} &[d\bar{\psi}'][d\psi'] = [d\bar{\psi}][d\psi], \qquad \bar{\psi}'[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + gT^a\gamma^\mu Q'^a_\mu]\psi' = \bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi, \\ &F^{a2}_{\mu\nu}[Q'] = F^{a2}_{\mu\nu}[Q]. \end{aligned} \tag{140}$$

Simplifying all the infinite number of non-commuting terms in eq. (103) we find that the SU(3) pure gauge $A^{\mu a}(x)$ is given by [3]

$$A^{\mu a}(x) = \partial^\mu\omega^b(x)\left[\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}\right]_{ab} \tag{141}$$

where $M_{ab}(x)$ is given by eq. (135). From eqs. (141) and (136) we find

$$Q'^a_\mu(x) - A^a_\mu(x) = [e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}Q^b_\mu(x), \qquad\qquad M_{ab}(x) = f^{abc}\omega^c(x). \tag{142}$$

Using eqs. (137), (140) and (142) in eq. (130) we find

$$\begin{aligned} <0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>_A = & \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\bar{\psi}(x_2)[\mathcal{P}e^{-igT^a\int_{x_1}^{x_2}dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)}]\psi(x_1) \\ \times\det(\frac{\delta G^a_f(Q')}{\delta\omega^b}) \ & e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^{a2}_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(G^a_f(Q'))^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]}. \end{aligned} \tag{143}$$

From eq. (128) we find

$$G^a_f(Q') = \partial_\mu Q'^{\mu a} + gf^{abc}A^b_\mu Q'^{\mu c} - \partial_\mu A^{\mu a}. \tag{144}$$

By using eqs. (136) and (141) in eq. (144) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&G^a_f(Q') = \partial^\mu[[e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}Q^b_\mu(x) \ + \ [\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}]_{ab} \ [\partial_\mu \omega^b(x)]] \\
&+gf^{abc}[\partial^\mu \omega^e(x)\left[\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}\right]_{be}][[e^{gM(x)}]_{cd}Q^d_\mu(x) \ + \ [\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}]_{cd} \ [\partial_\mu \omega^d(x)]] \\
&-\partial_\mu[\partial^\mu \omega^b(x)\left[\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}\right]_{ab}]
\end{aligned} \tag{145}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&G^a_f(Q') = \partial^\mu[[e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}Q^b_\mu(x)] \\
&+gf^{abc}[\partial^\mu \omega^e(x)\left[\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}\right]_{be}][[e^{gM(x)}]_{cd}Q^d_\mu(x) \ + \ [\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}]_{cd} \ [\partial_\mu \omega^d(x)]].
\end{aligned} \tag{146}$$

From eq. (146) we find

$$G^a_f(Q') = \partial^\mu[[e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}Q^b_\mu(x)] + gf^{abc}[[\partial^\mu \omega^e(x)]\left[\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}\right]_{be}][[e^{gM(x)}]_{cd}Q^d_\mu(x)] \tag{147}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&G^a_f(Q') = [e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}\partial^\mu Q^b_\mu(x) \\
&+Q^b_\mu(x)\partial^\mu[[e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}] + [[\partial^\mu \omega^e(x)]\left[\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}\right]_{be}]gf^{abc}[[e^{gM(x)}]_{cd}Q^d_\mu(x)].
\end{aligned} \tag{148}$$

From [3] we find

$$\partial^\mu[e^{igT^a\omega^a(x)}]_{ij} = ig[\partial^\mu \omega^b(x)]\left[\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}\right]_{ab} T^a_{ik}[e^{igT^c\omega^c(x)}]_{kj}, \qquad M_{ab}(x) = f^{abc}\omega^c(x) \tag{149}$$

which in the adjoint representation of SU(3) gives (by using $T^a_{bc} = -if^{abc}$)

$$[\partial^\mu e^{gM(x)}]_{ad} = [\partial^\mu \omega^e(x)]\left[\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}\right]_{be} gf^{bac}[e^{M(x)}]_{cd}, \qquad M_{ab}(x) = f^{abc}\omega^c(x). \tag{150}$$

Using eq. (150) in (148) we find

$$G^a_f(Q') = [e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}\partial^\mu Q^b_\mu(x) \tag{151}$$

which gives

$$(G^a_f(Q'))^2 = (\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a}(x))^2. \tag{152}$$

Since for $n \times n$ matrices A and B we have

$$\det(AB) = (\det A)(\det B) \tag{153}$$

we find by using eq. (151) that

$$\det[\frac{\delta G_f^a(Q')}{\delta\omega^b}] = \det[\frac{\delta[[e^{gM(x)}]_{ac}\partial^\mu Q^c_\mu(x)]}{\delta\omega^b}] = \det[[e^{gM(x)}]_{ac}\frac{\delta(\partial^\mu Q^c_\mu(x))}{\delta\omega^b}]$$
$$= \Big[\det[[e^{gM(x)}]_{ac}]\Big]\ \left[\det[\frac{\delta(\partial^\mu Q^c_\mu(x))}{\delta\omega^b}]\right] = \exp[\mathrm{Tr}(\ln[e^{gM(x)}])]\ \det[\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a}(x))}{\delta\omega^b}]$$
$$= \det[\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a}(x))}{\delta\omega^b}]. \tag{154}$$

Using eqs. (152) and (154) in eq. (143) we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)[\mathcal{P}e^{-igT^a\int_{x_1}^{x_2}dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)}]\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times\det(\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})}{\delta\omega^b})\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^{a2}_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{155}$$

Using the similar technique as above we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)[\mathcal{P}e^{igT^a\int_{x_1}^{x_2}dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)}]\psi(x_1)|0 >_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times\det(\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})}{\delta\omega^b})\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^{a2}_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]} \tag{156}$$

in the presence of SU(3) pure gauge background field $A^{\mu a}(x)$ as given by eq. (103) where $M_{ab}(x)$ is given by eq. (135).

Note that eq. (156) is valid whether we use type I transformation [eqs. (122) and (123)] or type II transformation [eqs. (125) and (126)]. However, since eq. (111) is used to study the gauge transformation of the Wilson line in QCD as given by eq. (112), we will use type I transformation [see eqs. (122) and (123)] in the rest of the paper which for the finite transformation give eq. (111) and [30, 33]

$$T^aQ'^a_\mu(x) = U(x)T^aQ^a_\mu(x)U^{-1}(x), \qquad\qquad U(x) = e^{igT^a\omega^a(x)}. \tag{157}$$

From eq. (157) we find that under gauge transformation given by eq. (111) the (quantum) gluon field $Q^{\mu a}(x)$ transforms as

$$Q'^a_\mu(x) = [e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}Q^b_\mu(x) \tag{158}$$

where $M_{ab}(x)$ is given by eq. (135). From eqs. (117) and (156) we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 > = < 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)[\mathcal{P}e^{igT^a\int_{x_1}^{x_2}dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)}]\psi(x_1)|0 >_A \tag{159}$$

which proves factorization of soft-collinear divergences at all order in coupling constant in QCD when light-like Wilson line is used in the covariant gauge where

$$\mathcal{P}e^{igT^a\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)} = [\mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^c(x_2+l\lambda)T^c]] \\ \times\mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^b(x_1+l\lambda)T^b] \tag{160}$$

is the light-like Wilson line in terms of the non-abelian gauge link or non-abelian phase and l^μ is the light-like four velocity.

B. Proof of Factorization Theorem in QCD With Light-Like Wilson Line in General Axial Gauge

In QCD the generating functional with general axial gauge fixing is given by [20, 21]

$$Z[J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi] \\ \times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta_\mu Q^{\mu a})^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi+J\cdot Q+\bar{\psi}\eta+\bar{\eta}\psi]} \tag{161}$$

where η^μ is an arbitrary but constant four vector

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^2 &< 0, && \text{axial gauge} \\ \eta^2 &= 0, && \text{light}-\text{cone gauge} \\ \eta^2 &> 0, && \text{temporal gauge.} \end{aligned} \tag{162}$$

Note that unlike covariant gauge in eq. (115) there is no Faddeev-Popov (F-P) determinant in eq. (161) because the ghost particles decouple in general axial gauges [20, 21]. The non-perturbative correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >$ in QCD in general axial gauge is given by

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1) \\ \times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta^\mu Q^a_\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{163}$$

The generating functional in the background field method of QCD with general axial gauge fixing is given by [21]

$$Z[A,J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi] \\ \times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[A+Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta^\mu Q^a_\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu(A+Q)^a_\mu]\psi+J\cdot Q+\bar{\eta}\psi+\bar{\psi}\eta]}. \tag{164}$$

The non-perturbative correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A$ in the background field method of QCD in general axial gauges is given by

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}_{\mu\nu}^2[A+Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta^\mu Q^a_\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu(A+Q)^a_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{165}$$

By changing the integration variable $Q \to Q - A$ in the right hand side of eq. (165) we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta^\mu (Q-A)^a_\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{166}$$

Changing the integration variable from unprimed variable to primed variable we find from eq. (166)

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A= \int [dQ'][d\bar{\psi}'][d\psi']\ \bar{\psi}'(x_2)\psi'(x_1)$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}_{\mu\nu}^2[Q']-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta^\mu (Q'-A)^a_\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}'[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q'^a_\mu]\psi']}. \tag{167}$$

This is because a change of integration variable from unprimed variable to primed variable does not change the value of the integration.

Using eqs. (137), (140) and (142) in eq. (167) we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)[\mathcal{P}e^{-igT^a\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)}]\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta^\mu ([e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}Q^b_\mu(x)))^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]} \tag{168}$$

which gives

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \ \bar{\psi}(x_2)[\mathcal{P}e^{-igT^a\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)}]\psi(x_2)$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta^\mu Q^a_\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{169}$$

Using the similar technique as above we find

$$< 0|\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)[\mathcal{P}e^{igT^a\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)}]\psi(x_1)\ |0 >_A= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta^\mu Q^a_\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]} \tag{170}$$

in general axial gauge in the presence of SU(3) pure gauge background field $A^{\mu a}(x)$ as given by eq. (103).

From eqs. (105), (163) and (170) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
&< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >=< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)[\mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^c(x_2+l\lambda)T^c]]\\
&\times\mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^b(x_1+l\lambda)T^b]\psi_(x_1)|0>_A
\end{aligned}
\tag{171}
$$

which proves factorization of soft-collinear divergences at all order in coupling constant in QCD when light-like Wilson line is used in general axial gauge.

C. Proof of Factorization Theorem in QCD With Light-Like Wilson Line in Light Cone Gauge

The light-cone gauge corresponds to [20–22]

$$
\eta\cdot Q^a=0, \qquad\qquad \eta^2=0 \tag{172}
$$

which is already covered by eqs. (161) and (162) where the corresponding gauge fixing term is given by -$\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta_\mu Q^{\mu a})^2$. In the light-cone coordinate system the light-cone gauge [22]

$$
Q^{+a}=0 \tag{173}
$$

corresponds to

$$
\eta^\mu=(\eta^+,\eta^-,\eta_\perp)=(0,1,0) \tag{174}
$$

which covers $\eta\cdot Q^a=0$ and $\eta^2=0$ situation in eq. (172).

Since eq. (171) is valid for general axial gauge [see eq. (162)] it is also valid in light cone gauge ($\eta^2=0$). Hence in light cone gauge we find from eq. (171) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_1)\psi(x_2)|0 >=< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_1)[\mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^c(x_1+l\lambda)T^c]]\\
&\times\mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^b(x_2+l\lambda)T^b]\psi_(x_2)|0>_A
\end{aligned}
\tag{175}
$$

which proves factorization of soft-collinear divergences at all order in coupling constant in QCD when light-like Wilson line is used in light-cone gauge.

D. Proof of Factorization Theorem in QCD With Light-Like Wilson Line in General Non-Covariant Gauge

In QCD the generating functional with general non-covariant gauge fixing is given by [23, 24]

$$Z[J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \det(\frac{\delta(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu)}{\delta\omega^b})$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi+J\cdot Q+\bar{\psi}\eta+\bar{\eta}\psi]} \tag{176}$$

where η^μ is an arbitrary but constant four vector. The non-perturbative correlation function of the type $<0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>$ in QCD in general non-covariant gauge is given by

$$<0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times\ \det(\frac{\delta(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu)}{\delta\omega^b})\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{177}$$

The generating functional in the background field method of QCD with general non-covariant gauge fixing is given by [23, 24]

$$Z[A,J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \det(\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}^a(Q)}{\delta\omega^b})$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[A+Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\mathcal{G}^a(Q))^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu(A+Q)^a_\mu]\psi+J\cdot Q+\bar{\eta}\psi+\bar{\psi}\eta]} \tag{178}$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}^a(Q) = \frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\ (\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu + gf^{abc}A^b_\mu Q^c_\nu) = \frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\ D_\mu[A]Q^a_\nu. \tag{179}$$

The non-perturbative correlation function of the type $<0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>_A$ in the background field method of QCD in general non-covariant gauge is given by

$$<0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>_A= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times\det(\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}^a(Q)}{\delta\omega^b})e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[A+Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\mathcal{G}^a(Q))^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu(A+Q)^a_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{180}$$

By changing the integration variable $Q \to Q - A$ in the right hand side of eq. (180) we find

$$<0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>_A= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times\det(\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q)}{\delta\omega^b})\ \ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q))^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]} \tag{181}$$

where from eq. (179) we find

$$\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q) = \frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\ (\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu + gf^{abc}A^b_\mu Q^c_\nu - \partial_\mu A^a_\nu) - \frac{1}{\eta^2}\ gf^{abc}(\eta\cdot A^b)(\eta\cdot A^c)$$
$$= \frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\ (D_\mu[A]Q^a_\nu) - \frac{\eta^\mu\eta_\nu}{\eta^2}\ \partial_\mu A^a_\nu. \tag{182}$$

Changing the integration variable from unprimed variable to primed variable we find from eq. (181)

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>_A = \int [dQ'][d\bar{\psi}'][d\psi']\ \ \bar{\psi}'(x_2)\psi'(x_1)$$
$$\times \det(\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q')}{\delta\omega^b})\ \ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^a{}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q'] - \frac{1}{2\alpha}(\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q'))^2 + \bar{\psi}'[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + gT^a\gamma^\mu Q'^a_\mu]\psi']}. \tag{183}$$

This is because a change of integration variable from unprimed variable to primed variable does not change the value of the integration.

Using eqs. (137), (140) and (142) in eq. (183) we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \ \bar{\psi}(x_2)[\mathcal{P}e^{-igT^a\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)}]\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times \det(\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q')}{\delta\omega^b})\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^a{}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q] - \frac{1}{2\alpha}(\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q'))^2 + \bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{184}$$

From eq. (182) we find

$$\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q') = \frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}[\partial_\mu Q'^a_\nu + gf^{abc}A^b_\mu Q'^c_\nu] - \frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\ \partial_\mu A^a_\nu. \tag{185}$$

By using eqs. (136) and (141) in eq. (185) we find

$$\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q') = \frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}[\partial_\mu[[e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}Q^b_\nu(x)\ \ +\ \ [\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}]_{ab}\ [\partial_\nu\omega^b(x)]]$$
$$+gf^{abc}[\partial_\mu\omega^e(x)\left[\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}\right]_{be}][[e^{gM(x)}]_{cd}Q^d_\nu(x)\ \ +\ \ [\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}]_{cd}\ [\partial_\nu\omega^d(x)]]]$$
$$-\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu[\partial_\nu\omega^b(x)\left[\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}\right]_{ab}] \tag{186}$$

which gives

$$\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q') = \frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}[\partial_\mu[[e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}Q^b_\nu(x)]$$
$$+gf^{abc}[\partial_\mu\omega^e(x)\left[\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}\right]_{be}][[e^{gM(x)}]_{cd}Q^d_\nu(x)\ \ +\ \ [\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}]_{cd}\ [\partial_\nu\omega^d(x)]]]. \tag{187}$$

From eq. (187) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q') = \frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}[\partial_\mu[[e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}Q^b_\nu(x)] \\
&+gf^{abc}[[\partial_\mu\omega^e(x)]\left[\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}\right]_{be}][[e^{gM(x)}]_{cd}Q^d_\nu(x)]]
\end{aligned}
\tag{188}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q') = \frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}[[e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}\partial_\mu Q^b_\nu(x) + Q^b_\mu(x)\partial_\nu[[e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}] \\
&+[[\partial_\mu\omega^e(x)]\left[\frac{e^{gM(x)}-1}{gM(x)}\right]_{be}]gf^{abc}[[e^{gM(x)}]_{cd}Q^d_\nu(x)]].
\end{aligned}
\tag{189}
$$

Using eq. (150) in (189) we find

$$
\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q') = \frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}[e^{gM(x)}]_{ab}\partial_\mu Q^b_\nu(x)
\tag{190}
$$

which gives

$$
(\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q'))^2 = (\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu(x))^2.
\tag{191}
$$

From eqs. (153) and (190) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\det[\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}^a_f(Q')}{\delta\omega^b}] = \det[\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\frac{\delta[[e^{gM(x)}]_{ac}\partial_\mu Q^c_\nu(x)]}{\delta\omega^b}] = \det[\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}[e^{gM(x)}]_{ac}\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^c_\nu(x))}{\delta\omega^b}] \\
&= \left[\det[[e^{gM(x)}]_{ac}]\right]\ \left[\det[\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^c_\nu(x))}{\delta\omega^b}]\right] = \exp[\mathrm{Tr}(\ln[e^{gM(x)}])]\ \det[\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu(x))}{\delta\omega^b}] \\
&= \det[\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu(x))}{\delta\omega^b}].
\end{aligned}
\tag{192}
$$

Using eqs. (191) and (192) in eq. (184) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
&< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \ \bar{\psi}(x_2)[\mathcal{P}e^{-igT^a\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)}]\psi(x_1) \\
&\times\det(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu)}{\delta\omega^b})\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^{a}{}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{193}
$$

Using the similar technique as above we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
&< 0|\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)[\mathcal{P}e^{igT^a\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx^\mu A^a_\mu(x)}]\psi(x_1)|0>_A = \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1) \\
&\times\det(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu)}{\delta\omega^b})\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^{a}{}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]}
\end{aligned}
\tag{194}
$$

in general non-covariant gauge in the presence of SU(3) pure gauge background field $A^{\mu a}(x)$ as given by eq. (103).

From eqs. (105), (177) and (194) we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >=< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)[\mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^c(x_2+l\lambda)T^c]]$$
$$\times\mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^b(x_1+l\lambda)T^b]\psi_(x_1)|0 >_A \tag{195}$$

which proves factorization of soft-collinear divergences at all order in coupling constant in QCD when light-like Wilson line is used in general non-covariant gauges.

E. Proof of Factorization Theorem in QCD With Light-Like Wilson Line in General Coulomb Gauge

In QCD the generating functional with general Coulomb gauge fixing is given by [23, 24]

$$Z[J,\eta,\bar{\eta}]=\int[dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \det(\frac{\delta([g^{\mu\nu}-\frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}]\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu)}{\delta\omega^b})$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}([g^{\mu\nu}-\frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}]\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi+J\cdot Q+\bar{\psi}\eta+\bar{\eta}\psi]} \tag{196}$$

where

$$n^\mu=(1,0,0,0). \tag{197}$$

The non-perturbative correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >$ in QCD in general Coulomb gauge is given by

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >=\int[dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times\ \det(\frac{\delta([g^{\mu\nu}-\frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}]\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu)}{\delta\omega^b})\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}([g^{\mu\nu}-\frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}]\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu)^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{198}$$

The generating functional in the background field method of QCD with general Coulomb gauge fixing is given by [23, 24]

$$Z[A,J,\eta,\bar{\eta}]=\int[dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \det(\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}^a(Q)}{\delta\omega^b})$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}^2_{\mu\nu}[A+Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\mathcal{G}^a(Q))^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu(A+Q)^a_\mu]\psi+J\cdot Q+\bar{\eta}\psi+\bar{\psi}\eta]} \tag{199}$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}^a(Q)=[g^{\mu\nu}-\frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}](\partial_\mu Q^a_\nu+gf^{abc}A^b_\mu Q^c_\nu)=[g^{\mu\nu}-\frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}]D_\mu[A]Q^a_\nu. \tag{200}$$

The non-perturbative correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A$ in the background field method of QCD in general Coulomb gauge is given by

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A= \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\ \bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)$$
$$\times \det(\frac{\delta \mathcal{G}^a(Q)}{\delta \omega^b}) e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}_{\mu\nu}^2[A+Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\mathcal{G}^a(Q))^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + gT^a\gamma^\mu(A+Q)^a_\mu]\psi]}. \tag{201}$$

Hence by replacing $\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2} \to [g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}]$ everywhere in the derivations in the previous subsection we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >=< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)[\mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^c(x_2+l\lambda)T^c]]$$
$$\times \mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda l\cdot A^b(x_1+l\lambda)T^b]\psi_(x_1)|0 >_A \tag{202}$$

which proves factorization of soft-collinear divergences at all order in coupling constant in QCD when light-like Wilson line is used in general Coulomb gauge.

VIII. VIOLATION OF FACTORIZATION THEOREM OF SOFT-COLLINEAR DIVERGENCES IN QCD WITH NON-LIGHT-LIKE WILSON LINE

As mentioned earlier, in some of the studies, the non-light-like Wilson line is used in the definition of the transverse momentum dependent parton distribution function (TMD PDF) at high energy colliders [1, 6]. These studies involve diagrammatic calculation at one loop level using perturbative QCD. However, the (transverse momentum dependent) parton distribution function and fragmentation function are non-perturbative quantities in QCD. Hence if one uses the perturbation theory to study their properties [1, 6], then one may end up finding wrong results. In general, the non-perturbative phenomena may be impossible to understand by perturbation theory, regardless of how many orders of perturbation theory one uses. Take for example, the non-perturbative function

$$f(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{x^4}}. \tag{203}$$

The Taylor series at $x = 0$ for this function $f(x)$ is exactly zero to all orders in perturbation theory, but the function is non-zero if $x \neq 0$.

Hence the diagrammatic method using perturbative QCD may not be always sufficient to prove factorization of soft-collinear divergences of the (transverse momentum dependent) parton distribution function and fragmentation function at high energy colliders, regardless of how many orders of perturbation theory one uses, because the (transverse momentum dependent) parton distribution function and fragmentation function are non-perturbative quantities in QCD.

On the other hand the path integral method of QCD can be used to study non-perturbative QCD. The path integral method of QCD is valid at all order in coupling constant. Hence we find that the path integral method of QCD is very useful to prove factorization of soft-collinear divergences of non-perturbative quantities in QCD such as the (transverse momentum dependent) parton distribution function and fragmentation function at all order in coupling constant at high energy colliders.

In this paper we have proved in section VII, by using path integral method of QCD, that the factorization theorem in QCD is valid at all order in coupling constant when light-like Wilson line is used. In this section we will prove, by using path integral method of QCD, that the factorization theorem in QCD is not valid at all order in coupling constant when non-light-like Wilson line is used. This implies that the factorization theorem is violated in all the previous studies [1, 6] which used the non-light-like Wilson line in the definition of the (transverse momentum dependent) parton distribution function and fragmentation function at high energy colliders. This is in conformation with the finding in [7, 8] which proved factorization theorem in NRQCD heavy quarkonium production in case of light-like Wilson line [7] and the violation of factorization theorem in NRQCD heavy quarkonium production in case of non-light-like Wilson line [8]. In case of massive Wilson line in QCD the color transfer occurs and the factorization breaks down [8]. The simple physics reason behind this is the following. The light-like Wilson line produces pure gauge potential which gives $F_{\mu\nu}^a(x) = 0$ which can be gauged away in the sense of factorization because pure gauge corresponds to unphysical longitudinal polarization and hence factorization theorem works (see sections IV and VII for details). The non-light-like Wilson line does not produce pure gauge potential ($F_{\mu\nu}^a(x) \neq 0$), the form of which can be arbitrary which can not be gauged away in the sense of factorization because non-pure gauge does not correspond to unphysical longitudinal polarization and hence the non-light-like Wilson line spoils the factorization (see sections V and this section for details).

A. Violation of Factorization Theorem in QCD with Non-Light-Like Wilson Line In Covariant Gauge

Note that eq. (127) is valid for any arbitrary background field $A^{\mu a}(x)$. Hence we start from eq. (127) by following exactly the same procedure that was followed for light-like Wilson line case. By changing the integration variable from unprimed variable to primed variable we find from eq. (127)

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 >_A= \int [dQ'][d\bar{\psi}'][d\psi'] \ \bar{\psi}'(x_2)\psi'(x_1)$$
$$\times \det(\frac{\delta G_f^a(Q')}{\delta\omega^b}) \ \ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}_{\mu\nu}^2[Q']-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(G_f^a(Q'))^2+\bar{\psi}'[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q'^a_\mu]\psi']}. \tag{204}$$

This is because a change of integration variable from unprimed variable to primed variable does not change the value of the integration.

Now let us define the primed variables Q' and ψ' for the non-light-like Wilson line case in analogous to the light-like Wilson line case. First of all in analogous to eq. (139) for the light-like Wilson line case let us define the primed variable ψ' for the non-light-like Wilson line case

$$\psi'(x) = \mathcal{P}e^{-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^a(x+v\lambda)T^a}\psi(x) \tag{205}$$

where $A^{\mu a}(x)$ is not the SU(3) pure gauge and v^μ is the non-light-like four velocity. Similarly in analogous to eq. (132) for the light-like Wilson line case let us define the primed variable Q' for the non-light-like Wilson line case

$$T^aQ'^a_\mu(x) = U(x)T^aQ^a_\mu(x)U^{-1}(x) + \frac{1}{ig}[\partial_\mu U(x)]U^{-1}(x) \tag{206}$$

where

$$U(x) = \mathcal{P}e^{-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^a(x+v\lambda)T^a} \tag{207}$$

where $A^{\mu a}(x)$ is not the SU(3) pure gauge and v^μ is the non-light-like four velocity. Under this finite transformation, using eq. (206), we find

$$[dQ'] = [dQ] \ \det[\frac{\partial Q'^a}{\partial Q^b}] = [dQ] \tag{208}$$

which is similar to eq. (137) for the light-like Wilson line case. From eqs. (206), (207) and (205) we find that

$$[d\bar{\psi}'][d\psi'] = [d\bar{\psi}][d\psi], \qquad \bar{\psi}'[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + gT^a\gamma^\mu Q'^a_\mu]\psi' = \bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi,$$
$${F^a}_{\mu\nu}^2[Q'] = {F^a}_{\mu\nu}^2[Q] \tag{209}$$

for the non-light-like Wilson line case which is similar to eq. (140) for the light-like Wilson line case.

Using eqs. (208) and (209) in eq. (204) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
<0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>_A= & \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\bar{\psi}(x_2)[[\mathcal{P}\text{exp}[ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^c(x_2+v\lambda)T^c]] \\
\times & \mathcal{P}\text{exp}[-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^b(x_1+v\lambda)T^b]]\psi(x_1) \\
\times & \text{det}(\frac{\delta G_f^a(Q')}{\delta\omega^b})\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(G_f^a(Q'))^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q_\mu^a]\psi]}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{210}
$$

From eq. (128) we find

$$
G_f^a(Q')=\partial_\mu Q'^{\mu a}+gf^{abc}A_\mu^b Q'^{\mu c}-\partial_\mu A^{\mu a}. \tag{211}
$$

Now from eqs. (206) and (207) we find that for the non-light-like Wilson line case

$$
{Q'}_\mu^a(x)-A_\mu^a(x)\neq[e^{gN(x)}]_{ab}Q_\mu^b(x), \qquad\qquad N_{ab}(x)=f^{abc}h^c(x) \tag{212}
$$

when $A^{\mu a}(x)$ is not the SU(3) pure gauge where $h^a(x)$ is any function. Hence we find that eq. (212) for the non-light-like Wilson line case differs from the corresponding eq. (142) for the light-like Wilson line case. From eqs. (211), (206), (207) and (212) we find

$$
G_f^a(Q')\neq[e^{gS(x)}]_{ab}\partial^\mu Q_\mu^b(x), \qquad\qquad S_{ab}(x)=f^{abc}w^c(x) \tag{213}
$$

where $w^a(x)$ is any function which gives

$$
(G_f^a(Q'))^2\neq(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a}(x))^2 \tag{214}
$$

for the non-light-like Wilson line case which differs from the corresponding eq. (152) for the light-like Wilson line case. From eq. (213) we find

$$
\text{det}[\frac{\delta G_f^a(Q')}{\delta\omega^b}]\neq\text{det}[\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a}(x))}{\delta\omega^b}] \tag{215}
$$

for the non-light-like Wilson line case which differs from the corresponding eq. (154) for the light-like Wilson line case.

Hence we find from eqs. (210), (214) and (215) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
<0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>_A\neq & \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\bar{\psi}(x_2)[[\mathcal{P}\text{exp}[ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^c(x_2+v\lambda)T^c]] \\
\times & \mathcal{P}\text{exp}[-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^b(x_1+v\lambda)T^b]]\psi(x_1) \\
\times & \text{det}(\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})}{\delta\omega^b})\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}_{\mu\nu}^2[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q_\mu^a]\psi]}
\end{aligned}
\tag{216}
$$

which gives

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)[[\mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^c(x_2+v\lambda)T^c]]\times \mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^b(x_1+v\lambda)T^b]]\psi(x_1)|0>_A$$
$$\neq \int [dQ][d\bar{\psi}][d\psi]\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)\ \det(\frac{\delta(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})}{\delta\omega^b})\ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^{a2}_{\mu\nu}[Q]-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu Q^{\mu a})^2+\bar{\psi}[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q^a_\mu]\psi]} \tag{217}$$

for the non-light-like Wilson line case which differs from the corresponding eq. (156) for the light-like Wilson line case.

Hence from eqs. (117) and (217) we find

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>$$
$$\neq < 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)[[\mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^c(x_2+v\lambda)T^c]]\times \mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^b(x_1+v\lambda)T^b]]\psi(x_1)|0>_A \tag{218}$$

which proves that the factorization theorem is violated at all order in coupling constant when the non-light-like Wilson line is used in QCD in covariant gauge where v^μ is the non-light-like four velocity.

B. Violation of Factorization Theorem in QCD with Non-Light-Like Wilson Line In General Axial Gauge

The non-perturbative correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_1)\psi(x_2)|0>_A$ in the background field method of QCD in general axial gauge is given by eq. (166) which is valid for any arbitrary background field $A^{\mu a}(x)$. Changing the integration variable from unprimed variable to primed variable we find from eq. (166)

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_1)\psi(x_2)|0>_A= \int [dQ'][d\bar{\psi}'][d\psi']\ \bar{\psi}'(x_1)\psi'(x_2)$$
$$\times e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}F^{a2}_{\mu\nu}[Q']-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\eta^\mu(Q'-A)^a_\mu)^2+\bar{\psi}'[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m+gT^a\gamma^\mu Q'^a_\mu]\psi']}. \tag{219}$$

This is because a change of integration variable from unprimed variable to primed variable does not change the value of the integration.

Now from eqs. (209), (212), (219) and (163) one finds in the general axial gauge

$$< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0>$$
$$\neq < 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)[[\mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^c(x_2+v\lambda)T^c]]\times \mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^b(x_1+v\lambda)T^b]]\psi(x_1)|0>_A \tag{220}$$

which proves that the factorization theorem is violated at all order in coupling constant when the non-light-like Wilson line is used in QCD in the general axial gauge where v^μ is the non-light-like four velocity.

C. Violation of Factorization Theorem in QCD with Non-Light-Like Wilson Line In Light Cone Gauge

Since eq. (220) is valid for general axial gauges [see eq. (162)] it is also valid for light cone gauge ($\eta^2 = 0$). Hence from eq. (220) we find that in the light cone gauge

$$
\begin{aligned}
&< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 > \\
&\neq < 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)[[\mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^c(x_2+v\lambda)T^c]] \times \mathcal{P}\mathrm{exp}[ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^b(x_1+v\lambda)T^b]]\psi(x_1)|0>_A
\end{aligned}
\tag{221}
$$

which proves that the factorization theorem is violated at all order in coupling constant when the non-light-like Wilson line is used in QCD in the light cone gauge where v^μ is the non-light-like four velocity.

D. Violation of Factorization Theorem in QCD with Non-Light-Like Wilson Line In General Non-Covariant Gauge

The non-perturbative correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_1)\psi(x_2)|0 >_A$ in the background field method of QCD in general non-covariant gauges is given by eq. (181) which is valid for any arbitrary background field $A^{\mu a}(x)$. Changing the integration variable from unprimed variable to primed variable we find from eq. (181)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_1)\psi(x_2)|0 >_A = \int [dQ'][d\bar{\psi}'][d\psi'] \ \ \bar{\psi}'(x_1)\psi'(x_2) \\
&\times \mathrm{det}(\frac{\delta \mathcal{G}_f^a(Q')}{\delta \omega^b}) \ \ e^{i\int d^4x[-\frac{1}{4}{F^a}_{\mu\nu}^2[Q'] - \frac{1}{2\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_f^a(Q'))^2 + \bar{\psi}'[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m + gT^a\gamma^\mu Q'^a_\mu]\psi']}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{222}
$$

This is because a change of integration variable from unprimed variable to primed variable does not change the value of the integration. From eqs. (182), (206), (207) and (212) we find

$$
\mathcal{G}_f^a(Q') \neq [e^{gS(x)}]_{ab}\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\ (\partial_\mu Q_\nu^b(x), \qquad\qquad S_{ab}(x) = f^{abc}w^c(x) \tag{223}
$$

where $w^a(x)$ is any function which gives

$$(\mathcal{G}_f^a(Q'))^2 \neq (\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\ \partial_\mu Q_\nu^a(x))^2 \tag{224}$$

for the non-light-like Wilson line case which differs from the corresponding eq. (191) for the light-like Wilson line case. From eq. (223) we find

$$\det[\frac{\delta\mathcal{G}_f^a(Q')}{\delta\omega^b}] \neq \det[\frac{\delta(\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2}\ \partial_\mu Q_\nu^a(x))}{\delta\omega^b}] \tag{225}$$

for the non-light-like Wilson line case which differs from the corresponding eq. (192) for the light-like Wilson line case.

Now from eqs. (209), (212), (224), (225), (222) and (177) we find that in the general non-covariant gauge

$$\begin{aligned}&< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 > \\ &\neq < 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)[[\mathcal{P}\text{exp}[-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^c(x_2+v\lambda)T^c]] \times \mathcal{P}\text{exp}[ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^b(x_1+v\lambda)T^b]]\psi(x_1)|0>_A\end{aligned} \tag{226}$$

which proves that the factorization theorem is violated at all order in coupling constant when the non-light-like Wilson line is used in QCD in the general non-covariant gauge where v^μ is the non-light-like four velocity.

E. Violation of Factorization Theorem in QCD with Non-Light-Like Wilson Line In General Coulomb Gauge

The non-perturbative correlation function of the type $< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_1)\psi(x_2)|0 >_A$ in the background field method of QCD in general Coulomb gauge is given by eq. (201) which is valid for any arbitrary background field $A^{\mu a}(x)$ where

$$\mathcal{G}^a(Q) = [g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}](\partial_\mu Q_\nu^a + gf^{abc}A_\mu^b Q_\nu^c) = [g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}]D_\mu[A]Q_\nu^a. \tag{227}$$

and

$$n^\mu = (1,0,0,0). \tag{228}$$

Hence by replacing $\frac{\eta^\mu\eta^\nu}{\eta^2} \to [g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{n^\mu n^\nu}{n^2}]$ everywhere in the derivations in the previous subsection we find that in the general Coulomb gauge

$$\begin{aligned}
&< 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)\psi(x_1)|0 > \\
&\neq < 0|\bar{\psi}(x_2)[[\mathcal{P}\text{exp}[-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^c(x_2+v\lambda)T^c]] \times \mathcal{P}\text{exp}[ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda v\cdot A^b(x_1+v\lambda)T^b]]\psi(x_1)|0 >_A
\end{aligned} \tag{229}$$

which proves that the factorization theorem is violated at all order in coupling constant when the non-light-like Wilson line is used in QCD in the general Coulomb gauge where v^μ is the non-light-like four velocity.

Hence we have proved in this paper, by using path integral method of QCD, that the soft-collinear divergences are factorized at all order in coupling constant when light-like Wilson line is used in the definition of the parton distribution function and fragmentation function at high energy colliders but the soft-collinear divergences are not factorized when the non-light-like Wilson line is used in the definition of the (transverse momentum dependent) parton distribution function and fragmentation function at high energy colliders. This is in conformation with the finding in [7, 8] which used the diagrammatic method of QCD to prove factorization theorem in NRQCD heavy quarkonium production in case of light-like Wilson line [7] and violation of factorization theorem in NRQCD heavy quarkonium production in case of non-light-like Wilson line [8]. In case of massive Wilson line in QCD the color transfer occurs and the factorization breaks down [8].

Hence we find that the factorization theorem is violated in all the previous studies [1, 6] which used the non-light-like Wilson line in the definition of the (transverse momentum dependent) parton distribution function and fragmentation function at high energy colliders.

IX. CONCLUSIONS

By using path integral formulation of QCD and QED we have proven that the factorization theorem is valid for light-like Wilson line but is not valid for non-light-like Wilson line. This conclusion is shown to be consistent with Ward identity and Grammer-Yennie approximation. Hence we have found that the factorization theorem is violated in all the previous studies which used the non-light-like Wilson line in the definition of the (transverse momentum dependent) parton distribution function and fragmentation function at high energy colliders.

---

[1] J. C. Collins and D. E. Soper, Nucl. Phys. B193 (1981) 381; B194 (1982) 445.

[2] J. C. Collins, D. E. Soper and G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. B261 (1985) 104.

[3] G. C. Nayak, JHEP03(2013)001.

[4] G. C. Nayak, Eur. Phys. J. C73(2013)2442.

[5] R. Tucci, Phys. Rev. D32 (1985) 945.

[6] *See for example*, J. C. Collins, Int.J.Mod.Phys.Conf.Ser. 4 (2011) 85, arXiv: 1107.4123 [hep-ph]; Acta Phys.Polon. B34 (2003) 3103, arXiv:hep-ph/0304122; J. C. Collins and F. Hautmann, Phys.Lett. B472 (2000) 129; JHEP 0103 (2001) 016; *and references therein.*

[7] G. C. Nayak, J. Qiu and G. Sterman, Phys. Lett. B613 (2005) 45; Phys.Rev. D72 (2005) 114012; Phys.Rev. D74 (2006) 074007.

[8] G. C. Nayak, J. Qiu and G. Sterman, Phys.Rev.Lett. 99 (2007) 212001; Phys.Rev. D77 (2008) 034022.

[9] G. C. Nayak, Annals Phys. 324 (2009) 2579.

[10] C. W. Bauer, D. Pirjol and I. W. Stewart, Phys.Rev.D65 (2002) 054022, hep-ph/0109045.

[11] J. Chay, C. Kim, Y. G. Kim, J-P. Lee, Phys.Rev. D71 (2005) 056001, hep-ph/0412110.

[12] C. F. Berger, hep-ph/0305076.

[13] G. C. Nayak, Annals Phys. 325 (2010) 514.

[14] G. C. Nayak, Annals Phys. 325 (2010) 682.

[15] R. Frederix, "*Wilson lines in QCD*", nikhef/masters-thesis (2005).

[16] J. C. Collins, D. E. Soper and G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. B223 (1983) 381.

[17] J. C. Collins, D. E. Soper and G. Sterman, hep-ph/0409313.

[18] G. Grammer and D. R. Yennie, Phys. Rev. D8 (1973) 4332.

[19] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 3 (1959) 296; J. Ye, J.Phys.Condens.Matter 16 (2004) 4465, arXiv:cond-mat/0206158.

[20] G. Leibbrandt, Rev. Mod. Phys. 59 (1987) 1067.

[21] K. A. Meissner, Act. Phys. Pol. B17 (1986) 409.

[22] J. Collins, hep-ph/0304122v3.

[23] A. Pilaftsis, Nucl. Phys. B 487 (1997) 467, hep-ph/9607451.

[24] D. M. Capper and G. Leibbrandt, Phys. Rev. D25 (1982) 1002; A. Andrasi and J. C. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B 192 (1981) 283

[25] G. Bodwin, Phys. Rev. D31 (1985) 2616.

[26] C. S. Lam, J. Math. Phys. 39 (1998) 5543.

[27] P. M. Fishbane, S. Gasiorowwicz and P. Kaus, Phys. Rev. D24 (1981) 2324.

[28] G. C. Nayak, arXiv:1506.07146 [hep-ph].

[29] C. W. Bauer, S. Fleming and M. Luke, Phys. Rev. D63 (2001) 014006; C. W. Bauer, S. Fleming, D. Pirjol and I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. D63 (2001) 114020; C. W. Bauer and I. W. Stewart, Phys. Lett. B516 (2001) 134.

[30] L. F. Abbott, Nucl. Phys. B185 (1981) 189.

[31] *See for example*, T. Muta, *Foundations of Quantum Chromodynamics*, World Scientific lecture notes in physics-Vol. 5.

[32] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B62 (1973) 444.

[33] H. Klueberg-Stern and J. B. Zuber, Phys. Rev. D12 (1975) 482.

[34] H. Klueberg-Stern and J. B. Zuber, Phys. Rev. D12 (1975) 3159.

[35] G. C. Nayak and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. D71 (2005) 125001; G. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. D72 (2005) 125010.

[36] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 82 (1951) 664.

[37] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Perseus Books Publishing, L.L.C.

[38] R. F. Dashen and D. J. Gross, Phys. Rev. D23 (1981) 2340.

[39] G. C. Nayak, Eur. Phys. J.C64:73,2009, arXiv:0812.5054 [hep-ph].

[40] G. C. Nayak, arXiv:1506.02593 [hep-ph].

[41] G. C. Nayak, arXiv:1506.02651 [hep-ph].